EP0804883

Publication Title:
Animal feed
Abstract:
A ruminant animal feed comprising a forage component with about 20% to about 100% corn silage produced from corn plants exhibiting a bm phenotype and a feed composition component and a method of producing the same is disclosed. A method of enhancing milk production in ruminant animals, especially dairy cattle, by feeding them with the animal feed of the invention, optionally co-administering somatotropin, is also disclosed.

Data supplied from the esp@cenet database - http://ep.espacenet.com



(11) EP 0 804 883 A2

(12)

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

(43) Date of publication: 05.11.1997 Bulletin 1997/45

(51) Int CL⁶: **A23K 3/02**, A23K 1/18, A01H 5/10, A61K 38/27

(21) Application number: 97303014.1

(22) Date of filing: 01.05.1997

(84) Designated Contracting States:

AT BE CH DE DK ES FI FR GB GR IE IT LI LU MC NL PT SE

Designated Extension States:

AL LT LV RO SI

(30) Priority: **01.05.1996 US 641486** 19.08.1996 US 699560 **06.02.1997 US 795549**

(71) Applicant: Cargill Incorporated Wayzata, Minnesota 55391 (US)

(72) Inventors:

Beck, James F.
 Marshall, Michigan 49068 (US)

• Storck, Dale H. Sugar Grove, Illinois 60554 (US)

Casper, David P.
 Elk River, Minnesota 55330 (US)

(74) Representative: Dzieglewska, Hanna Eva Frank B. Dehn & Co., European Patent Attorneys, 179 Queen Victoria Street London EC4V 4EL (GB)

(54) Animal feed

(57) A ruminant animal feed comprising a forage component with about 20% to about 100% corn silage produced from corn plants exhibiting a *bm* phenotype and a feed composition component and a method of pro-

ducing the same is disclosed. A method of enhancing milk production in ruminant animals, especially dairy cattle, by feeding them with the animal feed of the invention, optionally co-administering somatotropin, is also disclosed.

Description

5

20

30

45

50

55

This invention relates to increasing milk production in ruminant animals such as dairy cows. More particularly the invention relates to increasing milk production in animals such as dairy cows by feeding a ration comprising silage from corn plants exhibiting a brown midrib phenotype.

Com plants (Zea mays L.) are bred by both self-pollination and cross-pollination techniques. Corn is a monoecious plant, i.e., each plant has separate male and female flowers on the same plant, located on the tassel and ear, respectively. Natural pollination occurs in corn when pollen is shed from tassels and contacts silks of the same plant or a different plant that protrude from tops of the developing ears. Methods and techniques for the development of inbred corn lines and hybrid com varieties are known in the art. Hallauer, A., Maize, in Principles of Cultivar Development, Vol. 2, Fehr. W. ed. pp. 249-294, Macmillan, New York, (1987). Currently, many hybrid com varieties are produced by crossing two inbred lines to product F₁ hybrid progeny. The F₁ plants exhibit heterosis, or hybrid vigor, resulting in plants having high yield and superior agronomic performance in the hybrid combination. The production and development of inbred corn lines and hybrid corn varieties are discussed in, for example, U.S. Patent Nos. 5,367,109 and 5,495,067, which are incorporated herein by reference.

Research studies on maize have resulted in the identification of numerous genetic loci. See, e.g., the maize genetic database on the Worldwide Web at http://teosinte.agron. missour.edu/top.html.

To supply needed nutrients for increased milk production by lactating dairy cattle is an ongoing challenge facing the dairy industry. This challenge is complicated by the fact that, even though a dairy cow's diet may meet the National Research Council recommended nutrient requirements, the diet may still lack some nutrients at increased levels required for higher milk production. One reason for this difficulty in meeting nutrient requirements is the complexity of the digestive system of ruminants such as dairy cattle.

In cattle, ingested feed first passes into the reticulorumen, where it is subject to anaerobic microbial fermentation. Microbial fermentation begins the digestive process and gives a ruminant the ability to utilize fibrous feeds, in contrast to monogastric animals. Ruminants meet their nutrient needs by utilizing the by-products of microbial fermentation, along with any undigested feed residues and the resultant microbial mass that passes from the rumen.

Anaerobic microbial fermentation is an advantage to ruminants because it allows them to benefit from feeds which cannot be utilized by non-ruminants. However, microbial activity limits the ability to provide supplemental nutrients to a ruminant animal, because many desirable nutrients, such as proteins, amino acids and digestible fiber, will be metabolized by microbes before the nutrients reach a site where they can be absorbed and utilized by the ruminant.

Attempts have been made to increase milk production in dairy cattle by manipulating the feed ration. For example, rations containing silage derived from corn plants carrying a brown midrib (*bm*) mutation have been fed to cattle. Stallings, C. et al., J. Dairy Sci., 65:1945-1949 (1982); Block, E. et al., J. Dairy Sci. 64:1813-1825 (1981); Keith, E. et al., J. Dairy Sci. 62:788 (1979). The *bm* gene decreases and alters the lignin content in the vegetative parts of such corn plants; silage made from such plants has increased fiber digestibility compared to silage from corn plants not exhibiting the brown midrib phenotype. In general, these studies indicated that there was no increase in milk production in cows fed silage from *bm* corn. It was concluded that the cows fed a diet containing *bm* silage generally partitioned the nutrients into meat or fat body tissues rather than milk production. Barriere et al., Agronomie 13:865-876 (1993).

Attempts have been made to increase the efficiency of feed utilization and milk production by using various formulations and feed supplements. Despite continued improvement in the development of dairy cattle feed rations, it is desirable to further increase the efficiency of feed utilization and milk production by dairy cattle.

It has now surprisingly been found that silage from bm com may be used to increase the milk production of ruminant animals when combined to make an animal feed with defined constituents.

Thus, viewed from one aspect the present invention provides a ruminant animal feed comprising a combination of:

a forage component comprising from about 20% to about 60% of said feed on a dry matter basis, said forage component comprising from about 20% to about 100% corn silage on a dry matter basis produced from corn plants exhibiting a brown midrib (bm) phenotype, said silage having an in vitro neutral detergent fibre digestibility of about 44% to about 70%; and

a feed composition component;

said animal feed having a fibre content of about 20% to about 40%. Preferably the animal feed of the invention is in the form of a total feed ration, preferably a total dairy cattle feed ration.

The ration can have a crude protein content of from about 17% to about 21% on a dry matter basis. About 30% to about 50% of the crude protein is soluble protein.

The neutral detergent fiber digestibility can be from about 6% to about 20% greater than the neutral detergent fiber digestibility of corn silage produced from corresponding isogenic normal corn plants. The silage can have a whole plant *in vitro* digestibility from about 65% to about 85%.

The corn plants can comprise F_1 hybrid plants. The brown midrib phenotype can be the result of homozygosity at the bm3 gene locus.

Viewed from a further aspect the invention provides a method of enhancing milk production in a ruminant animal, such as cattle, sheep or goats, preferably in a dairy cow, comprising the step of feeding said animal an animal feed as defined hereinbefore. Alternatively viewed the present invention provides an animal feed as described herein for enhancing milk production in a ruminant animal. Alternatively, the use of a forage component or a feed composition component as described herein for the preparation of an animal feed as described herein for enhancing milk production in a ruminant animal, is also provided.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

The method can further comprise the step of administering a biologically active somatotropin to the animal, e.g. a cow under conditions delivering an effective amount of the somatotropin to the animal during a selected period. The somatotropin can be administered as a prolonged release dose, for example, a dose that is effective for at least 7 days. The conditions can comprise delivering the somatotropin to the circulatory system of the animal.

In some embodiments, the forage component comprises from about 40% to about 60% of the ration, and the *bm* corn silage comprises from about 40% to about 80% of the forage component. In some embodiments, the forage component comprises from about 45% to about 55% of the ration and the *bm* com silage comprises from about 50% to about 80% of the forage component.

The present invention further extends to a method of producing a total ruminant animal feed as defined herein comprising the steps of a) obtaining a corn silage produced from corn plants exhibiting a *bm* phenotype, and b) formulating a total ruminant animal feed comprising a combination of a forage component and a feed composition component as defined herein.

Preferably in this method said corn plants are grown from a substantially homogenous assemblage of corn seeds which are homozygous for at least one *bm* allele.

According to a yet further aspect of the invention we provide a pack for a ruminant animal feed comprising a forage component and separately a feed composition component as defined herein as a combined preparation for simultaneous, separate or sequential use to enhance milk production in said animal. Such a pack may additionally comprise a biologically active somatotropin. Novel plants and seeds described herein also form aspects of the invention. The seeds of the invention may be viable or alternatively non-viable, such as crushed, milled or pressed seeds. Silage produced from the novel seeds and plants of the invention form yet further aspects of the invention.

An article of manufacture is disclosed, comprising packaging material, a substantially homogenous assemblage of F₁ hybrid corn seeds within the packaging material and a package label accompanying the packaging material. The seeds can be homozygous for *bm3*. The package label indicates that the seeds are effective for producing silage that increases milk production when fed to lactating dairy cattle.

The package label can indicate that the seeds are effective for producing silage having an *in vitro* neutral detergent fiber digestibility of from about 44% to about 70% and a whole plant *in vitro* digestibility of about 65% to about 85% determined after ensiling the plants.

The package label can also indicate that the seeds are effective for producing silage that increases milk production when fed to lactating dairy cows in a ration having a fiber content of about 20% to about 40%. Such a ration comprises about 20% to about 60% of a forage component, with the remainder being a feed composition. The forage component comprises from about 20% to about 100% corn silage produced from corn plants homozygous for *bm3*. The package label can also indicate that the ration has a crude protein content of from about 17% to about 21% on a dry matter basis and about 35% to about 50% of the crude protein is soluble protein.

Figure 1 shows herd milk production on a daily basis in a switchback trial in which normal or BMR silage was fed in conjunction with bovine somatotropin administration.

A feed ration has been discovered that comprises a corn silage produced from corn plants exhibiting a brown midrib phenotype. Feeding of such silage to dairy cattle results in unexpected improvements in milk production.

A silage component of the feed ration is produced from corn plants displaying a brown midrib (bm) phenotype. The bm phenotype is exhibited by plants homozygous for a mutant allele at the bm1, bm2, bm3 or bm4 loci. In some embodiments, such plants may display the brown midrib phenotype due to homozygosity at more than one of the bm loci. Mutant bm alleles are known to reduce and alter the lignin content in plants homozygous for such alleles. The lignin content may be reduced 20%, 30%, or up to about 45% compared to corn of the same genetic background but having a wild-type Bm gene.

Com inbreds and hybrids carrying bm alleles and displaying the bm phenotype can be produced by corn breeding methods such as conversion programs or recurrent selection. In one embodiment, a corn inbred line is converted to the bm phenotype in a breeding program initiated from the F_1 progeny of a cross between a plant of a first inbred (wild-type for the bm phenotype) and plants of a second line carrying the desired bm allele. F_1 plants are backcrossed to the first inbred line until an inbred line is obtained that has substantially the same genotype as the original inbred line except for the replacement of the wild-type Bm gene by the mutant bm gene. Conversion programs, recurrent selection programs, pedigree breeding programs, breeding programs using synthetics and other breeding methods are described

in, e.g., Hallauer, et al. in Corn and Corn Improvement, Sprague et al., eds. pp. 463-564 (1988).

In addition to selecting and identifying plants containing a mutant *bm* gene, it is desirable to select concomitantly for plants having superior agronomic and yield performance characteristics.

Techniques for identifying plants displaying the brown midrib phenotype are known in the art. For example, the underside of leaves may be examined at 10-14 days before tassel emergence (4-6 leaf stage, 0.6-1 meter height) for the appearance of a golden-brown or reddish-brown color on the midrib. Plants may also be examined at maturity by removing a leaf sheath and examining the stalk. The stalk has a golden-brown or reddish-brown color if the brown midrib phenotype is expressed. Brown pigment is also present in the cob and in the roots. Because the *bm* phenotype is recessive, the presence of the *bm* gene in heterozygotes can be determined by performing a self and evaluating the selfed progeny for the expected 3:1 segregation ratio. Alternatively, marker-assisted breeding techniques may be used, e.g., restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP), simple sequence repeats (SSR), microsatellite markers or PCR markers. Marker-assisted breeding techniques are useful, in that plants heterozygous for the *bm* allele can be identified without the necessity for evaluating phenotypic ratios in selfed progeny.

Once inbreds having the *bm* phenotype and desired performance characteristics have been identified, each inbred is evaluated for the development of appropriate hybrid combinations by test crosses or top crosses to another inbred displaying the *bm* phenotype.

Suitable hybrids are selected to have certain desirable agronomic characteristics. Such characteristics include, for example, satisfactory disease resistance or tolerance, satisfactory insect resistance or tolerance and satisfactory seedling vigor. Such general characteristics are desired in all types of corn hybrids regardless of the intended use of the hybrid; the best available performance with respect to these characteristics will be incorporated into a *bm* hybrid. Methods and tests for identifying inbreds having the desired general agronomic performance characteristics in hybrid combination are known in the art.

In addition, hybrids are developed that are adapted for use in short, medium or long growing seasons according to a relative maturity rating system such as the Minnesota Maturity Rating (MMR). See, e.g., U.S. Patent 5,495,067, incorporated herein by reference.

25

30

35

45

50

55

However, certain other characteristics are of particular relevance in selecting suitable *bm* inbreds and hybrids. One relevant characteristic is the forage yield of the hybrid. The forage yield of a suitable *bm* hybrid is from about 20 to about 28 Tons per acre (adjusted to 70% moisture), preferably from about 22 Tons per acre to about 28 Tons per acre, more preferably from about 24 Tons per acre to about 28 Tons per acre. The forage yield can be from about 25 Tons/acre to about 32 Tons/acre in newer hybrids that are converted to express the *bm* phenotype.

A *bm* hybrid typically has a decrease in forage yield compared to the forage yield of its isogenic counterpart lacking the *bm* phenotype. However, preferred hybrids have a forage yield decrease of less than 15% compared to their isogenic counterparts, preferably a decrease of about 10% or less.

Another relevant characteristic is the *in vitro* digestibility of corn silage made from a *bm* hybrid as determined after about 30 days of fermentation. *In vitro* true digestibility can be measured by determining neutral detergent fiber (NDF) digestibility. See, e.g., Goering, H. and Van Soest, P., Forage Fiber analyses in Agriculture Handbook 379, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., pp. 1-20 (1975). *In vitro* NDF digestibility is also referred to herein as *in vitro* cell wall digestibility (IVCWD). Neutral detergent fiber is a measure of the cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin fractions of silage and constitutes from about 35 percent to about 55 percent of the silage dry matter, generally from about 40 percent to about 50 percent. Preferred *bm* hybrids have an *in vitro* NDF digestibility of from about 44 percent to about 70 percent, e.g., from about 44 percent to about 60 percent, or from about 47 percent to about 55 percent. In some embodiments, the *in vitro* NDF digestibility is from about 44% to about 50%. The NDF digestibility of *bm* hybrids preferably is about 6 to about 20 percentage units greater than the corresponding isogenic normal hybrid (which is homozygous for *Bm3*), e.g., about 6 to about 15 percentage units greater.

In vitro digestibility can also be measured on the whole plant after about 30 days of fermentation. Whole plant in vitro digestibility is also referred to herein as IVTD and is based on the Tilley and Terry fermentation method. Tilley, J. and Terry, R. J. Brit. Grassland Soc. 18:104-111 (1963). Suitable hybrids have an IVTD value of from about 65% to about 85%, e.g., from about 70% to about 85%, or from about 74% to about 85%. In certain embodiments, the IVTD value of bm hybrids is from about 74 percent to about 80 percent. The IVTD value of a bm hybrid is about 2 to about 7 percentage units greater than the IVTD value of the corresponding isogenic normal hybrid.

In vitro NDF digestibility and IVTD can be measured, for example, by collecting fresh-cut plant material and ensiling it in mini-silo fermentation canisters. The material may be reshred prior to ensiling to provide more uniform fermentation. After about 30 days, the pH of the fermented material is stable and in vitro NDF Digestibility and IVTD are determined as described in Goering, H. and Van Soest, P., supra, except that a 30 hour in vitro fermentation is performed rather than a 48 hour in vitro fermentation.

The forage yields, IVCWD values and IVTD values of the novel *bm* hybrids disclosed herein are useful in determining the suitability of such hybrids for inclusion in a dairy cattle feed ration.

Once inbreds having the bm phenotype and desired performance characteristics have been identified, each inbred

is evaluated for the development of appropriate hybrid combinations by test crosses or top crosses to another inbred displaying the *bm* phenotype.

Examples of com inbreds suitable for producing *bm* com hybrids include, without limitation, inbreds *AR5252bm3*, *7675bm3*, *7677bm3*, *AR5251bm3* and *AR5651bm3*. The inbred lines *AR5252bm3*, *AR5251bm3*, *AR5251bm3*, *AR5253bm3* and *AR5654bm3* are available from the applicant on request. This however in no way provides a licence of any sort to perform the invention as claimed.

The invention may readily be performed using materials available and known in the art. For example, other com seeds possessing mutant *bm* alleles are available from various universities and seed stock centers such as Maize Genetics Cooperation - Stock Center, University of Illinois, Department of Crop Science, Urbana, Illinois, USA. These seeds can also be used to initiate a *bm* breeding program.

10

15

20

25

30

35

55

The invention may alternatively be performed by transfection of appropriate plants to produce antisense molecules to the *Bm* gene whose sequence is known.

An inbred line according to the invention preferably is homozygous for a *bm3* allele. Certain *bm3* alleles have been sequenced, e.g., the *bm3-1* and *bm3-2* alleles. Vignols et al. Plant Cell 7:407-416 (1995). Alleles that have a deletion, e.g., a deletion similar to that in *bm3-2*, are preferred because such alleles are less likely to revert to wild-type.

It is known in the art that maize germplasm can be divided into a number of distinct heterotic groupings. Such groups include Reid Yellow Dent, Lancaster Sure Crop and subgroups such as Iowa Stiff Stalk Synthetic (Reid Yellow Dent) and Oh43 (Lancaster Sure Crop). One important aspect of a maize breeding program is the identification of the heterotic group to which a particular inbred belongs. By identifying the heterotic group or subgroup, it becomes possible to more clearly determine the appropriate types of crosses, in order to obtain sufficient levels of heterosis or hybrid vigor. Because of the large number of possible heterotic groupings to which a given inbred can belong, it is useful to ascertain which heterotic groups can be most advantageously used to form a hybrid combination from brown midrib inbreds. Inbreds having the mutant bm phenotype have not been tested in all possible heterotic groups for the effect of the bm phenotype on expression of the mutant trait and the effect on other agronomic traits.

Once a suitable pair of inbred lines that provide the desired performance in hybrid combination have been identified, production of F_1 hybrid bm seed is undertaken. Typically, a substantially uniform assemblage of F_1 bm hybrid com seeds is conditioned and bagged in packaging material by means known in the art to form an article of manufacture. Alternatively, Such a novel bag of seed has a package label accompanying the bag, e.g., a tag or label secured to the packaging material, a label printed on the packaging material or a label inserted within the bag. The package label indicates that the seeds therein are effective for producing silage that can be fed to dairy cattle. Preferably, the package label indicates that the resulting silage is to be combined with a feed composition component as disclosed herein. The package label may indicate that silage resulting from seeds contained therein is effective for increasing milk production when fed to lactating cows as disclosed herein.

The novel corn seed is planted and cultivated according to standard agronomic practices in the geographic area to which the hybrid is adapted. Growers typically take into account soil fertility, crop rotation practices and other factors specific to the locale in which the hybrid corn is being grown.

Com plants can be grown to maturity and the seeds produced thereon harvested for use as grain. However, above-ground parts of corn plants disclosed herein preferably are harvested after grain fill, but before drydown. Typically, plants are harvested using a mechanical forage harvester which chops the above-ground portion of the plant into small pieces. Harvesting typically is based on the stage of seed maturity and occurs about the stage at which the color line is halfway down the kernel. The precise harvest time will depend, of course, upon geographical location and seasonal factors such as the weather. The chopped material is then ensiled by techniques known in the art, e.g., in trenches or in concrete stave silos. Microbial inoculants and/or preservatives may be added to promote silage formation, if desired.

Com silage from *bm* plants is fed to ruminant animals, such as dairy cattle as a total animal feed ration, such as a total dairy cattle feed ration (also referred to herein as a total mixed ration or total ration) comprising *bm* silage and a feed composition. The nutrient composition of the silage can be determined, e.g. percent by dry matter, percent NDF, percent CP, *in vitro* NDF, digestibility and IVTD. Based on this information, a total animal (e.g. dairy cattle) feed ration is formulated.

A feed composition component of the invention may be a complete feed form, a concentrate form, blender form or base mix form. By complete feed form it is meant that the feed represents the cow's entire grain ration. By concentrate form it is meant that the feed will be used as the primary supplemental protein source and would normally be fed with grain to meet an animal's protein needs. By blender form it is meant that the feed will be mixed with approximately a 50:50 ratio with grain to form the complete grain ration. The base mix form is similar to the concentrate form, but is typically higher in protein content and is used at lower inclusion rates. The base mix form will be a primary, but often not the sole source of supplemental protein.

For example, a complete feed form composition may contain wheat middlings, corn, meat and bone meal, soybean meal, salt, macro-minerals, trace minerals and vitamins. Alternative or optional ingredients commonly include, but are not restricted to, fat, sunflower meal, feather meal, malt sprouts, distillers' grains, canola meal and soybean hulls. Other

alternative or optional protein sources include, for example, blood meal, corn gluten meal, peanut meal, cottonseed meal, soybeans (extruded or roasted), wheat bran and high fat rice bran.

A concentrate form composition, a blender form composition or a base mix form composition can be prepared by those of skill in the art, based upon the complete feed composition discussed above. Grains fed with the blender, concentrate and base mix forms of the composition can include, but are not limited to, com, barley, oats, millet, rice, sorghum and wheat. Intake of the total grain ration will typically range from 2.7 to about 17 kilograms (kg) per day.

The nutrient composition of total dairy cattle feed ration comprising *bm* silage contains crude protein (CP) at a relatively high level in order to increase milk production. On a dry matter basis, CP is about 17% or greater, preferably from about 18% to about 21%. If there are reproductive difficulties, the amount of CP may be reduced. About 30% to about 50% of the CP is soluble protein (also referred to as SP, degradable intake protein, or DIP), preferably about 35% to about 50%, more preferably about 40% to about 45%. A total ration having a CP level at the lower end of the range of values results in greater weight gain and smaller increases in milk production. On the other hand, a ration with a CP level at the upper end of the range of values results in smaller weight gains and greater increases in milk production. About 20% to about 40% of the CP in the total ration is rumen undegraded protein (RUP, undegradable intake protein, or UIP), preferably from about 25% to about 40%, more preferably from about 25% to about 35%.

The ADF content of a total ration preferably is about 18% or greater, e.g., about 18% to about 24%, or about 19% to about 22%. The fat content of a total ration typically is from about 4% to about 8%, e.g., about 4% to about 6%. The non-fiber carbohydrate (NFC) content of a total ration is typically from about 36% to 46% on a dry matter basis, e.g., about 39% to about 43%.

20

30

35

The forage component in the total ration typically constitutes about 20% to about 60% of the ration on a dry matter basis and is added to achieve a fiber content of about 20% to about 40%, preferably from about 25% to about 35%. These values are appropriate for cows in early lactation; mid-lactation cows may have a higher forage/concentrate ratio resulting in, for example, about 30% to about 40% fiber content. The *bm* silage comprises from about 20% to about 100% of the forage on a dry matter basis, e.g., from about 25% to about 90%, or from about 40% to about 90%, or from about 70% to about 90%. Although *bm* silage may comprise 100% of the forage component in a ration, higher amounts of a protein source such as soybean meal will need to be included; doing so increases the overall cost of the diet. Therefore, it can be more cost-effective to include *bm* silage at less than 100% of the forage component.

Sources that may be used to complete the forage component of the ration include, but are not limited to, corn silage from non-bm com plants, alfalfa haylage, grass silages (e.g., sudangrass, orchardgrass or sorghum-based silage), grass hays (e.g., sudangrass or orchardgrass) and alfalfa or clover hay. Such other forages are known in the art.

The silage component and the feed composition component are combined and fed to ruminant animals, e.g. dairy cattle, under generally accepted management conditions. For example, typical dairy cow management conditions include known measures for animal care, shelter and veterinary treatment, under lactation and gestation cycles used by dairy farmers. Under typical conditions for feeding and managing of lactating dairy cows, a total ration comprising *bm* silage and having a nutrient composition disclosed herein provides a significant increase in milk yield on a raw basis or fat-corrected basis, without adversely affecting general animal health, particularly live weight.

A total ration includes components such as fat, vitamins and minerals in proportions and within ranges that are known in the art. Maximum fiber digestibility occurs in a rumen environment suitable for growth of fiber-digesting organisms. Thus, the levels of other components are considered when formulating a total animal feed ration. For example, high levels of unsaturated fatty acids may depress NDF digestibility. In addition, the level of non-structural carbohydrate is adjusted to provide sufficient energy from starch fermentation without decreasing rumen pH to such an extent that the growth of fiber-digesting microbes is inhibited. Furthermore, the higher digestibility of *bm* corn silage may require that the ration be adjusted to achieve higher levels of non-protein nitrogen (NPN) in the rumen compared to rations using non-*bm* corn silage, because fiber-digesting microbes generally are more active when NPN is increased. Sufficient NPN can be provided by increasing the level and sources of SP in the ration, in combination with an increased forage/concentrate ratio.

Particle size of corn silage affects the rate and the efficiency of silage digestion in the rumen. A theoretical length of cut of about 0.95 cm to 1.27 cm is often used when chopping corn for silage. Because of the higher digestibility of *bm* corn silage, such silage may pass through the rumen more rapidly than desirable for efficient digestion and mat formation. Thus, a larger theoretical length of cut preferably is used when chopping *bm* corn, e.g., about 1.27 cm to about 2.54 cm, or about 1.91 cm to about 2.54 cm. Increased length of cut for *bm* corn silage contributes to increased scratch factor which stimulates cud-chewing and improves rumen buffering due to saliva formation.

From the foregoing it will be appreciated that the relative amounts of different components of the feed may be adjusted appropriately to allow maximum milk production. In particular, where necessary the level of CP should be increased to the upper level of the range given above, the feed should be formulated to maintain rumen pH around 6.2, such as in the range 5.5 to 7.0 for ruminants, particularly 5.5 to 6.5 for dairy cattle, for example by adjustment of the level of non-structural carbohydrate and factors which affect fiber digestibility should be adjusted appropriately, e. g. the levels of unsaturated fatty acids. Modification of each or all of the different components mentioned may be

performed to enhance milk production. Alternatively, or additionally, the particle size of corn silage may be adjusted as mentioned above.

In one embodiment, a method according to the invention comprises administration of a biologically active somatotropin to a ruminant animal such as a dairy cow while feeding a ration comprising *bm* corn silage to the animal. Somatotropin refers to a peptide that has biological activity and chemical structure similar to those of somatotropin produced in the pituitary gland of an animal. Such somatotropin include, without limitation, natural somatotropins produced by pituitary somatotropic cells and somatotropins expressed by genetically transformed microorganisms such as *E. coli* or yeasts. Biologically active somatotropins may have an amino acid sequence identical to natural somatotropins or may be analogs having one or more alterations in amino acid sequence that provide enhanced biological activity or some other advantage. Illustrative embodiments of suitable somatotropins are described in, e.g., US Patents 4,693,973, 5,411,951, 5,037,806 and 5,086,041, all of which are incorporated herein by reference. A preferred somatotropin is bovine somatotropin for use with dairy cows.

Typically, somatotropin is administered parenterally, e.g., by intramuscular or subcutaneous injection, which delivers the peptide to the circulatory system. Suitable formulations for administering a somatotropin are known in the art. For example, somatotropin can be injected in a physiologically acceptable vehicle such as a wax and an oil. See, e.g., U.S. Patents 4,977,140, 5,474,980, 5,156,851 and 5,013,713, all of which are incorporated herein by reference. In some embodiments, a prolonged release formulation is used, such that a dose of somatotropin effective for enhancing milk production over a period of several days is released into the circulatory system with a single administration, e.g., at least 7 days, at least 14 days or at least 21 days.

A biologically active somatotropin is administered under conditions that provide an amount of peptide effective for enhancing milk production to a ruminant animal. Techniques and means are known in the art for determining appropriate doses to be supplied or provided to an individual animal. For example typically, amounts of somatotropin between about 5 and about 75 mg/cow/day are suitable for use in the invention. For example, parenteral administration of a single dose of about 300 mg of zinc-associated somatotropin can be sufficient to provide an increased amount of bovine somatotropin for a period of about 15 days. U.S. Patent 5,086,041, incorporated herein by reference.

A feed ration comprising *bm* com silage is fed during a selected period to at least one lactating ruminant animal, e.g. dairy cow. Somatotropin is administered so that the production-enhancing effect of the peptide coincides with the feeding of the *bm* silage-containing ration. For example, a prolonged release formulation of somatotropin can be administered to a cow every 14 days over a 180 period. Concomitantly, a *bm* silage ration described above is fed daily to the cow over the same 180 day period. As an alternative, somatotropin can be administered daily and a *bm* silage ration can be fed daily. The somatotropin can be administered, e.g., by injection, either before or during the period in which the ration is being fed, provided that an effective amount of the peptide is delivered during the same period that the ration is being fed. The feeding period can be short, e.g., 4 days, or preferably longer, e.g., 10 days, 30 days or more.

A biologically active somatotropin may be administered to both primiparous and multiparous cows, either in early, mid or late lactation, e.g., about 63 to about 360 days postpartum. Somatotropin is particularly useful for increasing milk production during mid and late lactation; i.e., about 100 to about 360 days postpartum.

Feeding a dairy cattle feed ration comprising *bm* corn silage or *bm* corn silage results in surprising increases in milk production. An increase in milk production of at least about 1.8 kg/cow/day is often observed. A method of feeding a *bm* silage feed ration as disclosed herein provides significant utility to the producer because of such increases in milk production. Moreover, protein, lactose and solids-not-fat (SNF) components of milk are not altered significantly after feeding a *bm* silage total ration as disclosed herein.

Feeding a dairy cattle feed ration comprising *bm* com silage and coextensively supplying an effective amount of a biologically active somatotropin also results in significant increases in milk production compared to the increase observed with somatotropin alone. For example, an increase in milk production of about 3 kg/cow/day can be observed over a 4 day period.

The invention will be further understood with reference to the following illustrative embodiments, which are purely exemplary and should not be taken as limiting the true scope of the present invention as described in the claims.

Example 1

20

25

35

40

45

50

55

Preparation of Silage from a Brown Midrib Hybrid

Inbred lines AR5152*bm3* and AR5751*bm3* are crossed (AR5152*bm3* X AR5751*bm3*) to make F₁ hybrid seed carrying the brown midrib genotype. Control hybrid seed is prepared by crossing the unconverted parental lines.

The control and *bm* hybrid seed is planted at a density of 24,900 kernels per acre and cultivated using a standard fertilization program. The corn is harvested 4 months after planting, using a forage harvester having a 0.95 cm theoretical length of cut (TLC) and a screen. The harvested material is placed in polyethylene bags (Ag Bags). If desired, the harvested material is treated with inoculant and/or preservative. The forage yield for *bm* corn silage is about 1 wet

ton per acre less than the control hybrid corn.

Samples are collected from the polyethylene bags after 30 days of fermentation/storage and assayed for nutrient concentrations. Typical results are shown in Table 2. The control corn silage and the *bm* corn silage are similar in nutrient composition, except for fiber digestibility and NE_L concentration. The greater NE_L concentration is likely related to the *in vitro* digestibility of NDF, ADF, and hemicellulose.

Table 2

Nutrient composition of corn silages ¹				
Nutrient	Control	BMR		
Dry matter, %	34.6	31.9		
Crude protein, %	8.18	8.58		
Fat, %	2.74	2.59		
ADF, %	18.76	19.93		
NDF, %	38.20	40.67		
Hemicellulose, %	19.44	20.74		
NDF dig., %	52.4	65.3		
ADF dig., %	43.5	60.9		
Hemicellulose dig., %	60.9	69.5		
Ash, %	3.34	3.63		
NFC, %	47.54	44 .53		
NE _L , Mcal/cwt.	76.0	80.5		
Ca, %	.19	.19		
P, %	.23	.22		
Mg, %	.14	.13		
K, %	1.09	1.27		
Na, %	.01	.09		
Salt, %	.22	.13		

Nutrients are expressed on a dry matter basis

Example 2

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

Formulation of a Dairy Cattle Feed Ration

The following experimental diets are developed to be fed as a total mixed ration (TMR). The following assumptions are used in ration formulation: the early lactation experimental dairy cow is estimated to weigh 636 kg and to produce 50 kg of milk per day with 3.30% fat, 3.10% milk protein and no body weight change. Dry matter intake (DMI) is predicted to be slightly less than 4.0% of body weight. The TMR is formulated to contain on a dry matter basis: 50% complete feed mix, 33% com silage and 17% alfalfa haylage. The ingredient composition of the complete feed is given in Table 3 and the nutrient composition of the complete feed mix is given in Table 4.

Table 3

Ingredient Composition of Complete Feed ¹			
INGREDIENT Composition (% by weight)			
Corn, coarse ground	38.7		
Wheat Middlings	20.0		
Meat & Bone Meal (50% protein) 1.5			

As fed basis.

Table 3 (continued)

Ingredient Composition of Complete Feed ¹			
INGREDIENT	Composition (% by weight)		
Hi Pro Soybean Meal (49% crude protein)	1.9		
Feather Meal	3.5		
Canola Meal	20.0		
Animal fat	2.3		
Minerals and Vitamins	4.7		
Distillers grains	7.4		
Total	100.0		

As fed basis.

Table 4

IdDIE 4			
Nutrient Composition of Complete Feed			
NUTRIENT	COMPOSITION1		
Dry Matter	88.0		
Crude Protein (CP)	23.0		
Soluble Protein (SP as % of CP)	21.0		
RUP (% of CP)	45.0		
Fat	5.0		
Net Energy of Lactation (NE _L) Mcal/kg	92.0		
Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)	9.3		
Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF)	19.5		
Non-Fiber Carbohydrate (NFC)	43.0		
Calcium	1.40		
Phosphorus	0.90		
Magnesium	0.45		
Sulfur	0.50		
Salt	1.50		

Percentages for nutrients given as % by weight on a dry matter basis

Brown midrib (BMR) or control corn silage (Example 2) are combined with complete feed mix and alfalfa haylage to form the rations, using each silage in an equal amount on a dry matter basis. Rations contain approximately 18.5% crude protein, 32% soluble protein and 40% rumen undegraded protein. Both rations are adjusted to a calculated pH of about 6.2 Both rations are balanced to meet or exceed mineral, vitamin and total bypass protein requirements.

Example 3

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

Milk Production Using BMR Silage in a Dairy Cattle Ration

20 lactating dairy cows are utilized in a randomized complete block design experiment, consisting of 5 primiparous cows and 5 multiparous cows fed EMR silage. Cows are randomly assigned after calving. During the fifth week postpartum, the cows are abruptly switched to the appropriate feeding ration and continued on that ration from week 5 to week 17 postpartum. Primiparous cows are producing more than 25 kg/day of milk during the 4th week postpartum. Multiparous cows are producing greater than 29.5 kg/day of

milk during the 4th week postpartum. All cows are disease free and otherwise healthy. Cows are fed ad libitum for the duration of the experiment, twice daily at 12 hour intervals, if possible. Cows are fed to allow for 5 to 10% feed refusal. The dietary ration and percentages of complete feed mix (Example 2), corn silage (Example 1) and alfalfa haylage on a dry matter basis are given in Table 5.

Milk production is measured at each milking and reported daily. Two samples of milk are taken weekly from each cow for composition analysis, including fat, protein, lactose, solids-not-fat and somatic cell counts. Body weights are recorded weekly after calving. Body condition scores are recorded at approximately weeks 4, 8, 12 and 16 postpartum. The same employee records condition scores at each measurement time. Body conditions scores are recorded according to the definitions indicated in Table 6.

Table 5

10

15

20

25

Dietary feeding guidelines to feed concentrate mix and forages					
	Control ration	BMR ration		Control ration	BMR ration
Ration Content	% of DM	% of DM	DM, %	% as Fed	% as Fed
Control Silage	33.0	-	34.6	50.3	-
BMR Silage	-	33.0	31.9	-	52.4
Complete Feed	50.0	50.0	88.0	30.0	28.8
Alfalfa Haylage	17.0	17.0	45.6	19.7	18.9
Total	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0

The results indicate that cows fed BMR silage have a statistically significant increase in milk production when comparing unadjusted means or when comparing covariate adjusted means. The increase is from about 1.8 kg milk/cow/day to about 5.0 kg milk/cow/day. However, there is a statistically significant decrease in milkfat percentage in milk from cows fed the ration containing BMR silage.

Table 6

30	lable 6				
00		Instructions for Body Condition Scoring			
	Score	Definition			
35	1	Loin area has limited flesh covering, is prominent and the ends of spinous processes are sharp to touch. Definite overhanging shelf effect is visible. Individual vertebrae of the hind quarters are prominent and distinct. Hooks and pin bones are notable. The area below the tail-head and between pin bones is severely depressed causing the bone structure of the area to appear extremely sharp.			
40	2	Individual spinous processes are usually discernible but are not prominent. Ends of processes are sharp to touch, although they have greater flesh covering. The processes do not have a <u>distinct</u> overhanging shelf effect. Individual vertebrae of the hind quarters are not visually distinct but are readily distinguishable by palpitation. Hooks and pin bones are prominent, but the depression between them is less severe. The area below the tail-head and between the pin bones is depressed, but the bone structure is not devoid of flesh covering.			
45	3	Spinous processes are discemible by applying slight pressure. Area over processes appears smooth and the overhanging shelf effect is not noticeable. Vertebrae of the hindquarters appear as a rounded ridge. Hooks and pin bones are rounded and smooth. The area between the pin bones and around the tail-head appear smooth without sign of fat deposition.			
55	4	Individual spinous processes can be distinguished only by firm palpitation. Processes appear flat or rounded with no overhanging shelf effect. The ridge formed by the vertebrae of the hindquarters is rounded and smooth, flattening out as you move forward. Hooks are rounded, and the span between hooks is flat. The area around the tail- head and pin bones is rounded with evidence of fat deposition.			
	5	Bone structure of the vertebral column, spinous processes, hooks and pin bone regions is not visually apparent. Evidence of fat deposition is prominent. The tail head appears to be buried in fatty tissue.			

Example 4

Development of Corn Inbred Lines and Hybrids Having a Brown Midrib Phenotype

Line MED947 is a corn inbred that has the characteristics shown in Table 7. Line MED947 was crossed to B73*bm3* (stock no. 980392, obtained from the Department of Agronomy, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana). After completing a conversion program, an inbred line designated as AR5252*bm3* was obtained. The backcrossing program is shown in Table 8.

Line MED154 is a corn inbred that has the characteristics shown in Table 9. Line MED154 was backcrossed to AR5151*bm3* as indicated in Table 10 to obtain line AR5251*bm3*. The *bm3* allele in AR5151*bm3* was obtained from A632*bm3* (Purdue University).

Line MED058 is a corn inbred that has the characteristics shown in Table 11. Line MED058 was backcrossed with line AR5654*bm3*. The *bm3* allele in AR5654*bm3* was derived from Mo17*bm*3 (Purdue University).

Line 7675 was crossed to Oh545*bm3* (stock no. 980390, Department of Agronomy, Purdue University) in a conversion program as shown in Table 8, resulting in an inbred line designated 7675*bm3*.

Line 7677 was crossed to Mo17bm3 (stock no. 980394, Department of Agronomy, Purdue University) in a conversion program as shown in Table 8, resulting in an inbred line designated as 7677bm3.

Characteristics of the inbred lines AR5252bm3, 7675bm3 and 7677bm3 are shown in Table 12. Data on flowering for six inbred lines is shown in Table 13.

Line AR5252*bm3* was crossed as a female to 7675*bm3* and to 7677*bm3* to form F₁ hybrids 330666 and 330671, respectively. Characteristics of 330666 are shown in Table 14. Characteristics of 330671 are shown in Table 15.

Hybrid checks were prepared from crosses of AR5252 X 7675 and AR5252 X 7677. These F_1 hybrids do not exhibit the brown midrib phenotype.

Pairwise comparisons of forage yield, quality profiles and energy values for 330666 and unconverted control hybrid 118629 are shown in Table 16. The same comparisons are shown for 330671 and control hybrid X8311 in Table 17.

Lines AR5751*bm3*, AR5654*bm3*, AR5253*bm3*, AR5153*bm3* and AR5551*bm3* were developed by backcrossing programs similar to those described above. Each of these lines exhibits the brown midrib phenotype. Characteristics of these lines are shown in Tables 18-23.

30

Table 7

	Description of Line MED947					
	COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERISTICS			
	ANTHOCYANIN	N/A	SILK COLOR	YELLOW		
35	LENGTH	N/A	EAR LEAVES	ABSENT		
			<u>HUSK</u>			
	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	COVERS TIP		
	PLANT HEIGHT	7.50	LOOSENESS	TIGHT		
40	EAR HEIGHT	3.00				
	UNIFORMITY RATING	8	EAR ANGLE RATING	6		
	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	PRESENT	SHANK LENGTH	<4in.		
	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	6-9in.		
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	0.00	EAR SHAPE	CYLINDRICAL		
45	ROOT RATING	N/A	UNIFORMITY	8		
	LEAVES		KERNEL			
	ANGLE	UPRIGHT	NO. ROWS	16		
50	COLOR	MEDIUM GREEN	TYPE	SEMI DENT		
30	TOTAL NUMBER		SIZE	AVERAGE		
	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	BODY COLOR	YELLOW		
	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	0	CROWN COLOR	LIGHT YELLOW		
	MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	0.00				
55	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT				
	ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	ABSENT	COB			
	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	PRESENT	COLOR	PINK		

Table 7 (continued)

	Description of Line MED947				
	COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERISTICS		
5	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	PRESENT	DIAMETER MIDPOINT	< 1in.	
	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00			
	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE		
	COMPACTNESS	COMPACT	ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS	N/A	
10	BRANCH ANGLE	>60	ATTIAOTIVE TO ALTIBO	""	
	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	4-8	DISEASE RESISTANCE RATINGS		
	SECONDARY BRANCH	PRESENT	NORTHERN LEAF BLIGHT(R2)	5B	
	LENGTH	PRESENT N/A	` '	6B	
15	SIZE RATING	IN/A	SOUTHERN LEAF BLIGHT(R0) NORTHERN COB LEAF SPOT	6B	
15	SIZE MATING	/	(R3)	68	
	TASSEL EXTENSION PARTIALLY	ENCLOSED	STEWARTS BACTERIAL WILT	6B	
	SHED IN BOOT?	NO	GREY LEAF SPOT	8B	
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	AVERAGE	EYESPOT	6B	
20	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	0	ANTHRACNOSE	6B	
	ANTHER COLOR	YELLOW	(9=Resistant, 1=Susceptible)		
	GLUME COLOR - TIP	RED	(Letter indicates confidence		
	- BASE	LIGHT GREEN	level)		
25	- BAND	RED			
	POLLEN SHED DURATION	AVERAGE			
	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	AVERAGE			

30

35

40

45

50

55

Table 8

Inbred Line Conversion to Brown MidRib

AR5252bm3

 $\begin{array}{l} (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_6\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_5\text{S}_1\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_5\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_4\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_3\text{S}_1\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_3\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_2\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_1\text{S}_1\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_1\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{MED947BC}_1\\ (\text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{F}_1\\ \text{MED947XB73bm}_3)\text{F}_0\\ \end{array}$

<u>7675bm3</u>

 $(7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_6S_1 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_6 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_5 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_4 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_3S_1 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_3 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_2 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_2 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_1S_1 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_1S_1 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_1 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_2 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_1 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_2 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_1 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_2 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_1 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_2 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 bm_3) 7675 BC_3 \\ (7675 \times Oh545 b$

Table 8 (continued)

Inbred Line Conversion to Brown MidRib

7675bm3

(7675xOh545bm₃)7675F₁ (7675xOh545bm₃)F₀

7677*bm3*

 $\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)7677BC_5S_1 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)7677BC_5 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)7677BC_4 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)7677BC_3S_1 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)7677BC_3 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)7677BC_2 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)7677BC_1S_1 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)7677BC_1 \\ (7677XMo17bm_3)F_1 \\ 20 \\ \end{array}$

5

Table 9

	12010 9				
25	Description of Line MED154				
	COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERISTICS		
	ANTHOCYANIN	N/A	SILK COLOR	RED	
	LENGTH	<2	EAR LEAVES	MEDIUM	
			<u>HUSK</u>		
30	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	COVERS TIP	
	PLANT HEIGHT	7.0	LOOSENESS	LOOSE	
	EAR HEIGHT	2.0			
	UNIFORMITY RATING	7	EAR ANGLE RATING	6	
35	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	PRESENT	SHANK LENGTH	4-12	
	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	6-9	
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	1.0	EAR SHAPE	CONICAL	
	ROOT RATING	AVERAGE	UNIFORMITY	7	
40	L FAVES		VEDNEL		
	LEAVES	VEDV	KERNEL NO BOMS	16	
	ANGLE	VERY	NO. ROWS	16 DENT	
	COLOR	MEDIUM	TYPE		
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SIZE	AVERAGE	
45	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	BODY COLOR	ORANGE	
	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	34	CROWN COLOR	YELLOW	
	MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	4.50			
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	PRESENT			
50	ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	ABSENT	COB		
50	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	ABSENT	COLOR	WHITE	
	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	PRESENT	DIAMETER	1-2	
	DI 4417 TU I 50140 T40051	0.00	MIDPOINT		
	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00			
55	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE		
	COMPACTNESS	AVERAGE	ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS	YES	
	BRANCH ANGLE	30-60			

Table 9 (continued)

	Description of Line MED154		
	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	<4	
5	SECONDARY BRANCH	ABSENT	
	LENGTH	10-14	
	SIZE RATING	2	
	TASSEL EXTENSION	PARTIALLY ENCLOSED	
	TASSEL FERTILITY	0	
10	SHED IN BOOT?	NO	
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	AVERAGE	
	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	1	
	ANTHER COLOR	PINK	
15	GLUME COLOR - TIP	LIGHT GREEN	
	- BASE	RED	
	- BAND	DARK GREEN	
	POLLEN SHED DURATION	SHORT	
	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	LONG	
20	L		<u></u>

Table 10

Inbred Line Conversion to Brown Midrib

AR5251 bm3

25

30

35

50

 $\begin{array}{l} (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)C_5 \\ (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)C_4 \\ (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)C_3S_1 \\ (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)C_3 \\ (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)C_2 \\ (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)C_1S_1 \\ (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)C_1 \\ (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)F_1 \\ (\text{MED154XAR5151bm}_3)F_0 \\ \end{array}$

AR5651 bm3

 $\begin{array}{c} 40 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{C}_5\text{S}_1 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{C}_5 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{C}_4 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{C}_3 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{C}_2 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{C}_1\text{S}_1 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{C}_1 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{F}_1 \\ (\text{MED058XAR5654bm}_3)\text{F}_0 \\ \end{array}$

Table 11

	Description of Line MED058					
55	COTYLEDON LEAF ANTHOCYANIN LENGTH	N/A <2	EAR CHARACTERISTICS SILK COLOR EAR LEAVES	YELLOW ABSENT		

Table 11 (continued)

		Description of Line N	MED058	
			HUSK	
5	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	COVERS TIP
	PLANT HEIGHT	6.5	LOOSENESS	AVERAGE
	EAR HEIGHT	3.0		
	UNIFORMITY RATING	7	EAR ANGLE RATING	5
	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	PRESENT	SHANK LENGTH	<4
10	ANTHOCY, IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	6-9
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	1.0	EAR SHAPE	CYLINDRICAL
	ROOT RATING	AVERAGE	UNIFORMITY	9
		/		
15	<u>LEAVES</u>		KERNEL	
	ANGLE	UPRIGHT	NO. ROWS	12
	COLOR	LIGHT GREEN	TYPE	DENT
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SIZE	AVERAGE
	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	>6	BODY COLOR	ORANGE
20	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	21	CROWN COLOR	YELLOW
	MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	3.00		
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	PRESENT		
	ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	ABSENT	COB	
25	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	ABSENT	COLOR	WHITE
20	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	ABSENT	DIAMETER	<1
			MIDPOINT	
	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00		
30	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE	
	COMPACTNESS	LOOSE	ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS	NO
	BRANCH ANGLE	>60		
	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	4-6		
35	SECONDARY BRANCH	ABSENT		
	LENGTH	>14		
	SIZE RATING	5		
	TASSEL EXTENSION	PARTIALLY ENCLOSED		
	TASSEL FERTILITY	0		
40	SHED IN BOOT?	NO		
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	EASY		
	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	2		
	ANTHER COLOR	YELLOW		
45	GLUME COLOR - TIP	LIGHT GREEN		
	- BASE	LIGHT GREEN		
	- BAND	DARK GREEN		
	POLLEN SHED DURATION	AVERAGE		
50	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	LIGHT		
50				

Table 12 Description of Line 7675bm3

7675bm3

5				
	COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERIST	<u> ICS</u>
	ANTHOCYANIN	Present	SILK COLOR	YELLOW
	LENGTH	2-3	SILK COLOR EAR LEAVES	ABSENT
			TANK.	
10	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	
	PLANT HEIGHT	5.5	LOOSENESS	N/A
	EAR HEIGHT	3.0		
	UNIFORMITY RATING ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	2	EAR ANGLE RATE	ING 8
	ANTEGORY IN BRACE POOTS	DDESENT	SHANK LENGTH	4-12
15	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	6-9
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE ROOT RATING	1.1	DAK SMAPE CII	LINDRICAL
	ROOT RATING E	EXCELLENT	UNIFORMITY	7
	LEAVES		KERNEL	
20		UPRIGHT	NO. ROWS	8-10
	COLOR	RIEGATED	TYPE	SEMI DENT
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SIZE	AVERAGE
	TOTAL NUMBER NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	BODY COLOR	ORANGE
	LENGTH. EAR LEAF	26	CROWN COLOR	YELLOW
25	LENGTH, EAR LEAF MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	3.50		
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT		
	ANTHOCYANIN SURATH	ABSENT	COB	
	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	ABSENT	COLOR	WHITE
	PURRSCENCE, MARGINS	ABSENT	DIAMETER MIDE	OINT <1
30	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00		
	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE	<u> </u>
	TASSEL COMPACTNESS BRANCH ANGLE	AVERAGE	ATTRACTIVE TO AP	HIDS NO
	BRANCH ANGLE	30-60		
35	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	4-8		
	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES SECONDARY BRANCH	ABSENT		
	LENGTH	10-14		
	LENGTH TASSEL PERTILITY	1		
	SIZE RATING	6		
40	TASSEL EXTENSION PARTIE	ALLY ENCLOSED		
	SHED IN BOOT?	NO		
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	AVERAGE		
	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	1		
45	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED ANTHER COLOR	YELLOW		
45	GLUME COLOR - TIP LIC			
		YELLOW		
	- BAND DA			
50	POLLEN SHED DURATION POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	HEAVY		
~~				

Table 12 Cont'd

	7677bm3			
5				
	COTYLEDON LEAF ANTHOCYANIN	PRESENT 2-3	EAR CHARACTERIS	TICS
	ANTHOCYANIN	PRESENT	SILK COLOR EAR LEAVES	AETFOM
	LENGTH	2-3	ear leaves	ABSENT
10			HUSK	
10	PLANT AND STALK PLANT HEIGHT EAR HEIGHT		COVERAGE	
	PLANT HEIGHT	5.5	LOOSENESS	N/A
	EAR HEIGHT	1.0		
	UNIFORMITY RATING	8	EAR ANGLE RAT	'ING 6
15	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	Present	SHANK LENGTH	<4
	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	6-9
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	1.1	EAR SHAPE CY	LINDRICAL
	PLANT HEIGHT EAR HEIGHT UNIFORMITY RATING ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS ANTHOCY. IN NODES DIAM. AT 2nd NODE ROOT RATING	XCELLENT	UNIFORMITY	7

20	LEAVES	DIVIDAGA		12
	ANGLE	DROOPING	NO. KONS	COMT DONT
	COLOR LIG	ni Green	CTTP	AVEDACE
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-12	BUDA CUIUB	OPANCE
	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	21	CDOMM COLOR	VELLOW
25	MAY WIDMU BAD IFAF	3 00	CROWN COLOR	1222011
	ANGLE COLOR LIG TOTAL NUMBER NUMBER ABOVE EAR LENGTH, EAR LEAF MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	A D C PAPT		
	ANTHOCYANTA CUPATU	ABCENT	COR	
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	ABSENT	COLOR	PTNK
30	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	DDRSENT	DIAMETER MIDE	OINT 1-2
30	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL			
	,			
	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANC	E
	COMPACTNESS	AVERAGE	ATTRACTIVE TO AP	HIDS NO
35	COMPACTNESS BRANCH ANGLE	30-60		
	NUMBER DRIMARY RRANCHES	4 - R		
	SECONDARY BRANCH	ABSENT		
	LENGTH	>14		
	LENGTH TASSEL FERTILITY SIZE RATING	5		
40	SIZE RATING	7		
	TASSEL EXTENSION PARTIA	LLY ENCLOSED		
	SHED IN BOOT?	NO		
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	easy		
45	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	1 Yellow		
45	ANTHER COLOR GLUME COLOR - TIP	AETTOM		
	GLUME COLOR - TIP	RED		
	- Base - Band da	RED		
50	POLLEN SHED DURATION	N/A		
50	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	LONG		

Table 12 Cont'd

AR5252bm3

	AR52526m3			
5				
	COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERISTICS	
	ANTHOCYANIN	PRESENT	SILK COLOR YELLO	W
	LENGTH	2-3	EAR LEAVES ABSEN	T
			Husk	
10	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE COVERS TI	P
	PLANT HEIGHT	6.0	LOOSENESS N/	'A
	EAR HEIGHT	2.0		
	UNIFORMITY RATING	2.0	EAR ANGLE RATING	6
	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	DDECENT.	SHANK LENGTH 4-3	
15	ANTHOCI. IN BRACE ROOTS	ARSENT	EAR LENGTH 6-	. 9
	ANIACI. IN NODES	1 1	EAR LENGTH 6- EAR SHAPE CYLINDRICA	L
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE ROOT RATING		UNIFORMITY	8
	ROOT RATING	EXCEPTEN 1	UNIFORMIZI	٠
			VEDNET	
20	LEAVES		KERNEL NO. ROWS 1	_
20	ANGLE	UPRIGHT		
	COLOR	MEDIUM	TYPE DEN	
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SIZE AVERAG	
	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	BODY COLOR ORANG	3E
25	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	30	CROWN COLOR YELLO	WC
25	LENGTH, EAR LEAF MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	4.00		
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT		
	ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	PRESENT	COB	
	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	ABSENT	COLOR PIN	1K
	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS		DIAMETER MIDPOINT 1	- 2
30	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00		
	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE	
	COMPACTNESS	COMPACT	ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS	10
	BRANCH ANGLE	<30		
35	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	4-8		
	SECONDARY BRANCH			
	LENGTH	>14		
	TASSEL FERTILITY	7		
	SIZE RATING	4		
40	TASSEL EXTENSION PARTIA	_		
		NO NO		
	SHED IN BOOT?			
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	i l		
	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	AELTOM I		
45				
	GLUME COLOR - TIP	RED		
	- BASE	ABLTOM		
	- BAND D	ark Green		
	POLLEN SHED DURATION POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	SHORT		
50	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	LONG		

Parental Description Legend

```
COTYLEDON LEAF
               Anthocyanin (Present, Absent)
5
               Length (<2, 2-3, >3 inches)
             PLANT AND STALK
               Plant Height (in feet)
               Ear Height (in feet)
               Uniformity (9:most uniform; 1:least uniform)
10
               Anthocyanin In Brace Roots (Absent, Present, Dark)
               Anthocyanin In Nodes (Ab, Present)
               Stk Diameter at 2nd Node (in inches)
               Root Rating (9=Good Roots, 5=Average Roots, 1=Bad Roots)
15
             LEAVES
               Angle (Very Erect, Upright, Horizontal, Drooping)
               Color (Dark Green, Medium Green, Light Green, Variegated)
               Total # (<9. 10-15, >15)
               # Above Bar (<5, 5-6, >6)
20
               Length, Ear Leaf (in inches)
               Max. Width, Ear Leaf (in inches)
               Anthocyanin, Margin (Absent, Present)
               Anthrocyanin, Sheath (Absent, Present)
               Pubescence, Sheath (Absent, Present, Very Hairy)
25
               Pubescence, Margins (Absent, Present)
               Plants, Tillering Tassel (%) Percentage
             TARRET.
               Compactness (Loose, Average, Compact)
               Branch Angle (<30, 30-60, >60)
30
               #, Primary Branches (<4, 4-8, >8)
               Secondary Branches (Absent, Present)
               Length (<10 in., 10-14, >14 in.)
               Size (9:largest; 1:smallest)
               Shed in Boot (Yes, No)
35
               Tassel Extension (Enclosed, Partially Enclosed, Open)
               Tassel Fertility (9=Male Sterile, 7=Male Sterile, Anthers
                                 Extruded and No Pollen, 5=Intermediate
                                 With Some Viable and Nonviable Pollen,
                                 3=Near Normal Pollen, 1=Completely Normal
40
                                 Pollen Shed and Viability)
               Difficulty in Pulling (Easy, Average, Hard)
               # Leaves Pulled (Ave)
               Anther Color (Yellow, Green, Pink, Red, Purple)
               Glume Color
45
                 Tip (Green, Light Green, Red, Yellow)
                 Base (Green, Light Green, Red, Yellow)
                  Banded (Dark Green, Red, Purple)
               Pollen shed Duration (Short, Average, Long)
               Pollen Amount (Low, Average, Heavy)
50
```

19

Parental Description Legend Cont'd

BAR CHARACTERISTICS Silk Color (Green, Yellow, Pink, Red, Purple) 5 Ear Leaves (Absent, Medium, Long) Coverage (Short, Covers tip, Long) Looseness (Loose, Average, Tight) 10 Bar Angle at Harvest (9:sharpest; 1:lowest) Shank Length (<4, 4-12, >12) Ear Length (<6, 6-9, >9) Ear Shape (Cylindrical, Conical, Cylindrical/Conical) Uniformity Rating (9:most uniform; 1:least uniform) 15 Kernel No. Rows (8-10, 12, 14, 16, >18) Type (Flint, Semi dent, Dent, Rough dent) Size (Small, Average, Large) Body Color (White, Light Yellow, Yellow, Orange, Red) 20 Crown Color (White, Light Yellow, Yellow, Orange, Red) Сор Color (Red, Pink, White) Diameter Midpoint (<1, 1-2, >2) INSECT RESISTANCE 25

Attractive to Aphids (Yes, Average, No)

30

35

40

45

50

Table 13

Flowering Characteristics of bm Inbred Lines					
7675 <i>b</i> r	7675 <i>bm3</i> AR525			7677 <i>b</i> n	n3
Slk Heat 1	1,564	Slk Heat 1	1,534	Slk Heat 1	1,683
Slk Heat M	1,587	Slk Heat M	1,607	SIk Heat M	1,738
Slk Heat F	1,633	Slk Heat F	1,587	Sik Heat F	1,794
Slk Days 1	86	Slk Days 1	85	Słk Days 1	91
Sik Days M	87	Slk Days M	88	Slk Days M	93
Slk Days F	89	Slk Days F	87	SIk Days F	95
Pol Heat 1	1,534	Pol Heat 1	1,505	Pol Heat 1	1,419
Pol Heat M	1,587	Pol Heat M	1,534	Pol Heat M	1,587
Pol Heat F	1,607	Pol Heat F	1,587	Pol Heat F	1,633
Pol Days 1	85	Pol Days 1	84	Pol Days 1	80
Pol Days M	87	Pol Days M	85	Pol Days M	87
Pol Days F	88	Pol Days F	87	Pol Days F	89
AR5651 <i>bm3</i>			AR525	51 <i>bm3</i>	
Sik Heat 1	1,387	Slk Heat 1			1,552
Slk Heat M	1,437	Slk Heat M			1,582
Slk Heat F	1,479	SIk Heat F			1,656
Slk Days 1	83	Slk Days 1			91
Slk Days M	85	Sik Days M			93
Sik Days F	87	Slk Days F			97

Table 13 (continued)

Flowering Characteristics of bm Inbred Lines				
AR5651bm3			AR5251 <i>bm3</i>	
Pol Heat 1	1,304	Pol Heat 1	1,539	9
Pol Heat M	1,387	Pol Heat M	1,552	2
Pol Heat F	1,459	Pol Heat F	1,598	6
Pol Days 1	79	Pol Days 1	90	٥
Pol Days M	83	Pol Days M	9-	1
Pol Days F	86	Pol Days F	94	4

15

5

Table 14

		Trait Su	mmary for 3306	66
		RATING	SCALE	DEFINITION
20			-	
	Trait:			
25	Yield for Maturity (9-1)	9	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
	Stalk Strength (9-1)	Acceptable	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
	Root Strength-Summer (9-1)	9	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
	Root Strength-Fall (9-1)	NA	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
30	Testweight (9-1)	NA	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
	Drydown (9-1)	NA	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
	Ear Retention (9-1)	9	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
35	Early Vigor (9-1)	5	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
	Seedling Purple Color (9-1)	4	9-1	9=NO PURPLE 1=DARK PURPLE
	Greensnap Potential (9-1)	9	9-1	9=LOW 1=HIGH
	Drought Stress (9-1)	7	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
40	Stay Green (9-1)	8	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
	Plant Health (9-1)	7	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR
	Recommended Population (H M L)	Н	H,M,L	HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW
45	Plant Height (S MS M MT T)	8.0	S,MS,M,MT,T	SHORT/MSHORT/MODERATE/MTALL/TA
	Ear Height (S MS M MT T)	3.5	S,MS,M,MT,T	SHORT/MSHORT/MODERATE/MTALL/TA
50	Cargill RM (# Days)	115 (NA)	DAYS	# DAYS
	Flowering Date (E M L)	М	E,M,L	EARLY,MEDIUM,LATE
	GDU's to Mid-Silk (#)	1464	#	#UNITS
55	GDU's to Black Layer (#)	2594	#	# UNITS

Table 14 (continued)

Trait Summary for 330666					
	RATING	SCALE	DEFINITION		
Trait:					
Plant Color (L M D)	М	L,M,D	LIGHT,MEDIUM,DARK		
Leaf Angle (E U H D)	U	E,U,H,D	ERECT/UPRIGHT/HORIZONTAL.DROOP		
Leaf Width (W M N)	М	W,M,N	WIDE,MEDIUM,NARROW		
Tillers (9-1)	8	9-1	9=NONE 1=MANY		
Shank Length (9-1)	5	9-1	9=SHORT 1=LONG		
Husk Coverage (9-1)	8	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
Ear Tip Fill (9-1)	6	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
Ear Length (L M S)	М	L,M,S	LONG,MEDIUM,SHORT		
Ear Girth (G M S)	М	G,M,S	GIRTHY,MEDIUM,SLENDER		
Ear Flex (9-1)	NA	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
Kernel Rows (# Range)	14-16	#	# Range		
Kernel Depth (S M D)	D	S,M,D	SHALLOW,MODERATE,DEEP		
Cob Color (R P W)	Р	R,P,W	RED,PINK,WHITE		
Kernel Color (W Y G B O R)	Y	W,Y,G,B,O,R	WHITE,YLLW,GLD,BRNZ,ORNG,RED		
Kernel Texture (F SF SD D)	SD	F,SF,SD,D	FLINT,SEMIFLINT,SEMIDENT,DENT		

Table 15

	Trait Summary for 330671					
35		RATING	SCALE	DEFINITION		
	Trait:					
	Yield for Maturity (9-1)	7	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
	Stalk Strength (9-1)	Acceptable	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
40	Root Strength-Summer (9-1)	9	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
	Root Strength-Fall (9-1)	NA	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
	Testweight (9-1)	NA	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
45	Drydown (9-1)	NA	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
	Ear Retention (9-1)	9	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
	Early Vigor (9-1)	5	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
	Seedling Purple Color (9-1)		9-1	9=NO PURPLE 1=DARK PURPLE		
50	Greensnap Potential (9-1)	8	9-1	9=LOW 1=HIGH		
	Drought Stress (9-1)	8	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
	Stay Green (9-1)	8	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
55	Plant Health (9-1)	8	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR		
	Recommended Population (H M L)	Н	H,M,L	HIGH, MEDIUM, LOW		
	Plant Height (S MS M MT T)	8.5	S,MS,M,MT,T	SHORT/MSHORT/MODERATE/MTALL/TA		

Table 15 (continued)

	Trait Summary for 330671				
		RATING	SCALE	DEFINITION	
5	Trait:				
	Ear Height (S MS M MT T)	3.0	S,MS,M,MT,T	SHORT/MSHORT/MODERATE/MTALL/TA	
10	Cargill RM (# Days)		DAYS	# DAYS	
	Flowering Date (E M L)	м	E,M,L	EARLY,MEDIUM,LATE	
	GDU's to Mid-Silk (#)	1529	#	#UNITS	
15	GDU's to Black Layer (#)	NA	#	# UNITS	
20	Plant Color (L M D)		L,M,D	LIGHT,MEDIUM,DARK	
	Leaf Angle (E U H D)		E,U,H,D	ERECT/UPRIGHT/HORIZONTAL.DROOPY	
	Leaf Width (W M N)		W,M,N	WIDE,MEDIUM,NARROW	
25	Tillers (9-1)		9-1	9=NONE 1=MANY	
25	Shank Length (9-1)		9-1	9=SHORT 1=LONG	
	Husk Coverage (9-1)		9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR	
	Ear Tip Fill (9-1)		9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR	
30	Ear Length (L M S)		L,M,S	LONG,MEDIUM,SHORT	
	Ear Girth (G M S)		G,M,S	GIRTHY,MEDIUM,SLENDER	
	Ear Flex (9-1)	NA	9-1	9=GOOD 1=POOR	
35	Kernel Rows (# Range)		#	# Range	
33	Kernel Depth (S M D)		S,M,D	SHALLOW,MODERATE,DEEP	
	Cob Color (R P W)	Р	R,P,W	RED,PINK,WHITE	
	Kernel Color (W Y G B O R)		W,Y,G,B,O,R	WHITE,YLLW,GLD,BRNZ,ORNG,RED	
40	Kernel Texture (F SF SD D)		F,SF,SD,D	FLINT,SEMIFLINT,SEMIDENT,DENT	

Table 16

Pairwise Comparison of 330666 and 118629a						
Traits	330666	118629	Range	#Loc.		
Plant Features						
Plant Hgt	45.54	47.18	-1.64	5		
Ear Hgt	18.80	19.80	-1.00	5		
Yield Profile ^b			-			
70% Tons/A	25.00	27.49	-2.49	6		
DM Tons/A	7.50	8.25	-0.75	6		

^aSummary over two years

^bDM=Dry Matter; DDM=Digestible Dry Matter; Tons/A=Tons per acre

Table 16 (continued)

Pairwise Comparison of 330666 and 118629a						
Traits	330666	118629	Range	#Loc.		
Yield Profile ^b		-				
DDM Tons/A	5.90	6.07	-0.17	6		
Quality Profile ^c						
% ADF	23.18	24.83	-1.65	6		
% NDF	43.56	45.08	-1.52	6		
% ASH	4.62	4.51	0.11	6		
% CP	8.92	8.29	0.63	6		
% IVTD	78.75	73.26	5.49	6		
% IVCWD	50.99	40.64	10.35	6		
% WP Mst	70.83	66.54	4.29	6		
% WP DM	29.17	33.46	-4.29	6		
Energy Values ^d						
TDN	67.97	66.28	1.69	6		
NEL (Mcal/LB)	0.69	0.67	0.02	6		
NFC%	39.80	39.02	0.78	6		
Lbs CP/A	1,330.26	1,355.60	-25.34	6		
Lbs TDN/A	10,223.68	11,006:10	-782.42	6		
Lbs NEL/A	10,295.38	11,028.58	-733.20	6		
Lbs DDM/Ton	1,574.90	1,465.23	109.67	6		
LBS CP/Ton	178.40	165.87	12.53	6		

⁸Summary over two years

Table 17

Pairwise Comparison of 330671 and X8311							
Traits 330671 X8311 Range #Loc.							
Plant Features							
Plant Hgt	45.28	49.38	-4.10	5			
Ear Hgt	19.06	21.42	-2.36	5			
Yield Profile							
70% Tons/A	27.00	30.23	-3.23	6			
DM Tons/A	8.10	9.07	-0.97	6			
DDM Tons/A	6.22	6.50	-0.28	6			
Quality Profile							
% ADF	23.29	25.39	-2.10	6			
% NDF	44.96	46.97	-2.01	6			
% ASH	4.59	4.32	0.27	6			
% CP	8.49	8.12	0.37	6			
% IVTD	76.65	71.79	4.86	6			
% IVCWD	47.88	39.89	7.99	6			
% WP Mst	69.93	68.17	1.76	6			
% WP DM	30.07	31.83	-1.76	6			

 $^{^{\}mathrm{b}}\mathrm{DM}\text{=}\mathrm{Dry}$ Matter; DDM=Digestible Dry Matter; Tons/A=Tons per acre

^cADF=Acid Detergent Fiber; WP Mst=whole plant moisture; UPDM=Whole Plant Dry Matter

 $^{{}^{}d}$ TDN=Total Digestible Nutrients in % by weight (dry basis); NEL=Net Energy of Lactation in Mcal per pound.

Table 17 (continued)

Pairwise Comparison of 330671 and X8311						
Traits	Range	#Loc.				
Energy Values	Energy Values					
TDN	67.82	65.68	2.14	6		
NEL (Mcal/LB)	0.68	0.66	0.02	6		
NFC%	38.85	37.50	1.35	6		
Lbs CP/A	1,354.67	1,474.91	-120.24	6		
Lbs TDN/A	11,019.01	11,942.15	-923.14	6		
Lbs NEL/A	11,097.71	11,935.90	-838.19	6		
Lbs DDM/Ton	1,533.00	1,435.80	97.20	6		
LBS CP/Ton	169.87	162.33	7.54	6		
*Summary o	ver two years	of data at 6 l	ocations			

Table 18 Description of Lines AR5751bm3, AR5152bm3 and AR5151bm3

AR5751bm3 EAR CHARACTERISTICS COTYLEDON LEAF PRESENT SILK COLOR YELLOW ANTHOCYANIN EAR LEAVES ABSENT 2-3 LENGTH HUSK 10 COVERAGE LONG PLANT AND STALK LOOSENESS N/A PLANT HEIGHT 5.5 1.5 EAR HEIGHT 7 EAR ANGLE RATING 7 UNIFORMITY RATING SHANK LENGTH 4-12 ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS PRESENT 15 EAR LENGTH ANTHOCY. IN NODES ABSENT EAR SHAPE CYLINDRICAL/CONICAL 1.2 DIAM. AT 2nd NODE UNIFORMITY EXCELLENT ROOT RATING 20 KERNEL LEAVES 'NO. ROWS 16 UPRIGHT ANGLE DENT LIGHT GREEN TYPE COLOR 10-15 SMALL SIZE TOTAL NUMBER 5-6 BODY COLOR ORANGE NUMBER ABOVE BAR 25 CROWN COLOR YELLOW 26 LENGTH, EAR LEAF 3.00 MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF ABSENT ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN ABSENT COB ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH RED ABSENT COLOR PUBESCENCE, SHEATH 30 DIAMETER MIDPOINT 1-2 ABSENT PUBESCENCE, MARGINS 0.00 PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL INSECT RESISTANCE TASSEL ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS AVERAGE COMPACTNESS 35 30-60 BRANCH ANGLE >6 NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES SECONDARY BRANCH ABSENT LENGTH 10-14 TASSEL FERTILITY 40 SIZE RATING TASSEL EXTENSION PARTIALLY ENCLOSED SHED IN BOOT? AVERAGE DIFFICULTY IN PULLING NUMBER LEAVES PULLED 2 45 YELLOW ANTHER COLOR GLUME COLOR - TIP LIGHT GREEN - BASE GREEN DARK GREEN - BAND

55

50

N/A

HEAVY

POLLEN SHED DURATION

POLLEN AMOUNT RATING

Table 18 Cont'd

5	AR5152bm3			
			are aurenament	CONTAC
	COTYLEDON LEAF	ABSENT	EAR CHARACTERI	
		2-3	SILK COLOR EAR LEAVES	ABSENT
	LENGTH	2-3	HUSK	ABSENT
10	DIAME AND CHAIL		COVERAGE	LONG
	PLANT AND STALK PLANT HEIGHT	6.5	LOOSENESS	N/A
	EAR HEIGHT	2.5	10001111111	,
	DATESONITY DATENG	2.5	EAR ANGLE RA	TING 7
	UNIFORMITY RATING ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	DDECENT	SHANK LENGTH	
15	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ARCENT	EAR LENGTH	
	DIAM AT 2nd NODE	1 3	EAR SHAPE	CYLINDRICAL
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE ROOT RATING	XCELLENT	UNIFORMITY	8
	ROOT RATING	INCODDIAN I		_
	LEAVES		KERNEL	
20	ANGLE	UPRIGHT	NO BOWG	14
	COLOR	MEDIUM	TYPE	SEMI DENT
	TOTAL NUMBER	MEDIUM 10-15	SIZE	AVERAGE
	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	PODY COLOR	ORANGE
25	LENGTH. EAR LEAF	26	CROWN COLOR	YELLOW
25	LENGTH, EAR LEAF MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	2.50		
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT		
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	ABSENT	COB	
	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	ABSENT	COLOR	PINK
30	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS		DIAMETER MI	OPOINT <1
	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL			
	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTA	
	COMPACTNESS	average	ATTRACTIVE TO	APHIDS NO
35	BRANCH ANGLE	30-60 4-8		
	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES			
	SECONDARY BRANCH			
	LENGTH	10-14		
	TASSEL FERTILITY	3		
40	SIZE RATING	. 4		
	TASSEL EXTENSION PARTIA			
	SHED IN BOOT?	NO		
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	EASY 2		
45	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	2		
40	ANTHER COLOR	YELLOW		
	GLUME COLOR - TIP - BASE	RED		
	- BAND DI			
50	POLLEN SHED DURATION	N\A	•	
	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	LONG		

Table 18 Cont'd

AR5151bm3

5				
	COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERI	
	ANTHOCYANIN	PRESENT	SILK COLOR	YELLOW
	LENGTH	2-3	EAR LEAVES	ABSENT
			HUSK	
10	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	
	PLANT HEIGHT		LOOSENESS	
	EAR HEIGHT	2.0	EAR ANGLE RA	
	UNIFORMITY RATING	7	ear angle ra	TING 7
	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE RO	ots dark	SHANK LENGTH	4-12
15	ANTHOCY. IN NODES DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	ABSENT	ear length	<6
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	1.0	ear shape	CYLINDRICAL
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE ROOT RATING	EXCELLENT	UNIFORMITY	8
	LEAVES		KERNEL	
20	ANGLE	HORIZONTAL	NO. ROWS	14
	LEAVES ANGLE COLOR TOTAL NUMBER	LIGHT GREEN	TYPE SIZE BODY COLOR CROWN COLOR	SEMI DENT
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SIZE	AVERAGE
	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	BODY COLOR	ORANGE
	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	26	CROWN COLOR	YELLOW
25	NUMBER ABOVE EAR LENGTH, EAR LEAF MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEA	F 2.50		
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT		
	MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEA ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	ABSENT	COB	
	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	ABSENT	COLOR	PINK
	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	absent	DIAMETER MII	OPOINT <1
30	PLANT, TILLERING TAS	SEL 0.00		
	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTAN	ICE
	COMPACTNESS	AVERAGE	ATTRACTIVE TO A	APHIDS NO
	COMPACTNESS BRANCH ANGLE	30-60		
35	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANC SECONDARY BRANCH LENGTH	HBS 4-6		
	SECONDARY BRANCH	ABSENT		
	LENGTH	10-14		
	LENGTH TASSEL FERTILITY SIZE PATING	1		
40	SIZE RATING	4		
40	TASSEL EXTENSION PA	RTIALLY ENCLOSED		
	SHED IN BOOT?	NO		
	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	1 ·		
45	ANTHER COLOR	ABITOM		
.•	GLUME COLOR - TIP	RED		
	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED ANTHER COLOR GLUME COLOR - TIP - BASE	LIGHT GREEN		
	- BAND	DARK GREEN		
	POLLEN SHED DURATION			
50	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	AVERAGE		

Table 19

	Table	13
55	AR5751BM3	
	Slk Heat 1	1,441

Table 19 (continued)

AR5751BM3	
Slk Heat M	1,463
Slk Heat F	1,505
Slk Days 1	81
Slk Days M	82
Slk Days F	84
Pol Heat 1	1,463
Pol Heat M	1,485
Pol Heat F	1,505
Pol Days 1	82
Pol Days M	83
Pol Days F	84
AR5152BM3	
Slk Heat 1	1,587
SIk Heat M	1,607
Slk Heat F	1,633
Slk Days 1	87
Slk Days M	88
Slk Days F	89
Pol Heat 1	1,534
Pol Heat M	1,564
Pol Heat F	1,587
Pol Days 1	85
Pol Days M	86
Pol Days F	87
AR5151BM3	
Slk Heat 1	1,256
SIk Heat M	1,300
SIk Heat F	1,345
Slk Days 1	73
SIk Days M	75
SIk Days F	77
Pol Heat 1	1,175
Pol Heat M	1,231
Pol Heat F	1,345
Pol Days 1	70
Pol Days M	72
Pol Days F	77

Table 20

50	Description of Line AR5654bm3					
AR5654 <i>bm3</i>						
	COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERISTICS			
	ANTHOCYANIN	PRESENT	SILK COLOR	PINK		
55	LENGTH	2-3	EAR LEAVES	ABSENT		
33			<u>HUSK</u>			
	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	COVERS TIP		
	PLANT HEIGHT	6.0	LOOSENESS	N/A		

Table 20 (continued)

	Description of Line AR5654bm3				
	AR5654 <i>bm3</i>				
5	EAR HEIGHT	1.5			
!	UNIFORMITY RATING	7	EAR ANGLE RATING	6	
١	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	PRESENT	SHANK LENGTH	4-12	
1	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	<6	
10	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	1.1	EAR SHAPE	CYLINDRICAL	
,,	ROOT RATING	EXCELLENT	UNIFORMITY	7	
	<u>LEAVES</u>		KERNEL		
ļ	ANGLE	HORIZONTAL	NO. ROWS	14	
15	COLOR	MEDIUM	TYPE	FLINT	
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SIZE	SMALL	
	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	>6	BODY COLOR	ORANGE	
	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	19	CROWN COLOR	YELLOW	
20	MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	2.00		1	
20	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT			
l	ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	ABSENT	COB		
	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	PRESENT	COLOR	RED	
l	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	ABSENT	DIAMETER	<1 (
25			MIDPOINT		
	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00			
	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE		
00	COMPACTNESS	LOOSE	ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS	NO	
30	BRANCH ANGLE	>60			
i	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	>8			
	SECONDARY BRANCH	ABSENT			
ļ	LENGTH	>14			
35	TASSEL FERTILITY	1			
	SIZE RATING	6			
	TASSEL EXTENSION	PARTIALLY ENCLOSED			
	SHED IN BOOT?	N/A			
_	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	EASY			
40	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	2			
	ANTHER COLOR	YELLOW			
	GLUME COLOR - TIP	RED			
	- BASE	LIGHT GREEN			
45	- BAND	DARK GREEN			
	POLLEN SHED DURATION	N/A			
	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	AVERAGE			

50

Table 21

\(\text{\tint{\text{\tint{\text{\tin}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\ti}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\tin}\tint{\texi}\text{\tin}\tint{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\tint{\text{\texi}\tint{\texi}\tin}\tint{\text{\texi}\tint{\texitit}\\tiint{\texit{\tex{					
Description of Line AR5253bm3					
AR5253 <i>bm3</i>					
COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERISTICS			
ANTHOCYANIN	PRESENT	SILK COLOR	YELLOW		
LENGTH	2-3	EAR LEAVES	ABSENT		
		<u>HUSK</u>			

Table 21 (continued)

	Description of Line AR5253bm3					
	AR5253 <i>bm3</i>			,		
5	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	COVERS TIP		
	PLANT HEIGHT	6.0	LOOSENESS	N/A		
	EAR HEIGHT	2.5	i			
	UNIFORMITY RATING	5	EAR ANGLE RATING	6		
10	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	PRESENT	SHANK LENGTH	4-12		
,,,	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	6-9		
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	1.1	EAR SHAPE	CYLINDRICAL		
	ROOT RATING	EXCELLENT	UNIFORMITY	8		
15	<u>LEAVES</u>		<u>KERNEL</u>			
	ANGLE	UPRIGHT	NO. ROWS	14		
	COLOR	MEDIUM	TYPE	SEMI DENT		
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SiZE	AVERAGE		
20	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	BODY COLOR	ORANGE		
20	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	25	CROWN COLOR	YELLOW		
	MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	3.00				
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT				
	ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	PRESENT	<u>COB</u>			
25	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	PRESENT	COLOR	RED		
	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	ABSENT	DIAMETER	1-2		
			MIDPOINT			
	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00				
30	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE			
	COMPACTNESS	AVERAGE	ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS	NO		
	BRANCH ANGLE	30-60				
	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	<4				
35	SECONDARY BRANCH	PRESENT				
	LENGTH	10-14				
	TASSEL FERTILITY	3				
	SIZE RATING	4				
40	TASSEL EXTENSION	PARTIALLY ENCLOSED				
40	SHED IN BOOT?	NO				
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	EASY				
	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	1				
	ANTHER COLOR	YELLOW				
45	GLUME COLOR - TIP	RED		· !		
	- BASE	YELLOW				
	- BAND	DARK GREEN				
	POLLEN SHED DURATION	N\A				
50	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	AVERAGE	,			

Table 22

Description of Line AR5153bm3				
AR5153bm3				
COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERISTICS		
ANTHOCYANIN	PRESENT	SILK COLOR	YELLOW	

Table 22 (continued)

- 1	Description of Line AR5153bm3				
ı	AR5153 <i>bm3</i>	· ·			
Ì	LENGTH	2-3	EAR LEAVES	ABSENT	
			<u>HUSK</u>		
	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	LONG	
	PLANT HEIGHT	6.0	LOOSENESS	N/A	
	EAR HEIGHT	2.0			
ı	UNIFORMITY RATING	6	EAR ANGLE RATING	7	
ı	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	PRESENT	SHANK LENGTH	<4	
I	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	N/A	
١	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	1.3	EAR SHAPE	N/A	
١	ROOT RATING	EXCELLENT	UNIFORMITY	0	
	<u>LEAVES</u>		KERNEL		
l	ANGLE	UPRIGHT	NO. ROWS	N/A	
I	COLOR	MEDIUM	TYPE	N/A	
l	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SIZE	N/A	
l	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	BODY COLOR	N/A	
l	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	28	CROWN COLOR	N/A	
ı	MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	3.50			
l	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT			
	ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	ABSENT	сов		
l	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	ABSENT	COLOR	N/A	
	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	PRESENT	DIAMETER	N/A	
			MIDPOINT		
	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00			
l	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE		
l	COMPACTNESS	COMPACT	ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS	NO	
l	BRANCH ANGLE	<30	-		
l	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	4-6			
l	SECONDARY BRANCH	PRESENT			
l	LENGTH	10-14			
l	TASSEL FERTILITY	3			
l	SIZE RATING	0			
	TASSEL EXTENSION	PARTIALLY ENCLOSED			
	SHED IN BOOT?	YES			
l	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	EASY			
l	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	2		i.	
۱	ANTHER COLOR	YELLOW			
l	GLUME COLOR - TIP	LIGHT GREEN			
١	- BASE	LIGHT GREEN			
1	- BAND	DARK GREEN			
1	POLLEN SHED DURATION	N/A			
1	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	LONG	1		

Table 23

[Description of Line AR	EEE1 h2	
		Description of Line An	33310113	
5	AR5551 <i>bm3</i>			
	COTYLEDON LEAF		EAR CHARACTERISTICS	
	ANTHOCYANIN	PRESENT	SILK COLOR	YELLOW
1	LENGTH	2-3	EAR LEAVES	LONG
10			<u>HUSK</u>	
	PLANT AND STALK		COVERAGE	SHORT
- 1	PLANT HEIGHT	5.5	LOOSENESS	N/A
1	EAR HEIGHT	1.5		
l	UNIFORMITY RATING	7	EAR ANGLE RATING	5
15	ANTHOCY. IN BRACE ROOTS	PRESENT	SHANK LENGTH	>12
	ANTHOCY. IN NODES	ABSENT	EAR LENGTH	6-9
	DIAM. AT 2nd NODE	2.9	EAR SHAPE	CYLINDRICAL
	ROOT RATING	EXCELLENT	UNIFORMITY	5
20				
20	<u>LEAVES</u>		<u>KERNEL</u>	
	ANGLE	DROOPING	NO. ROWS	16
	COLOR	MEDIUM	TYPE	SEMI DENT
	TOTAL NUMBER	10-15	SIZE	AVERAGE
25	NUMBER ABOVE EAR	5-6	BODY COLOR	ORANGE
	LENGTH, EAR LEAF	30	CROWN COLOR	YELLOW
	MAX., WIDTH, EAR LEAF	4.00		}
	ANTHOCYANIN, MARGIN	ABSENT		
	ANTHOCYANIN, SHEATH	ABSENT	COB	
30	PUBESCENCE, SHEATH	ABSENT	COLOR	WHITE
	PUBESCENCE, MARGINS	PRESENT	DIAMETER	1-2
			MIDPOINT	1
	PLANT, TILLERING TASSEL	0.00		
35	•			
	TASSEL		INSECT RESISTANCE	
	COMPACTNESS	LOOSE	ATTRACTIVE TO APHIDS	NO
	BRANCH ANGLE	>60		
	NUMBER PRIMARY BRANCHES	>8		
40	SECONDARY BRANCH	ABSENT		
	LENGTH	>14		
	TASSEL FERTILITY	1		
	SIZE RATING	6		
45	TASSEL EXTENSION	PARTIALLY ENCLOSED		
	SHED IN BOOT?	NO		
	DIFFICULTY IN PULLING	AVERAGE		
	NUMBER LEAVES PULLED	1		
	ANTHER COLOR	YELLOW		
50	GLUME COLOR - TIP	LIGHT GREEN		
	- BASE	LIGHT GREEN		
	- BAND	DARK GREEN		
	POLLEN SHED DURATION	N/A		
55	POLLEN AMOUNT RATING	HEAVY		

Eleven bm hybrids were developed from inbreds homozygous for bm3. These hybrids were grown and ensiled at six U.S. locations for 2 years and pairwise comparisons were made between each bm hybrid and the corresponding

normal isogenic hybrid. The results for forage yield, IVTD and *in vitro* NDF digestibility are summarized in Table 24. Hybrids are listed in Table 24 according to their maturity rating. For example, hybrid number 330659 is a short season (95 day MMR) type, whereas 330670, 330671 and 330672 are long season (115 day MMR) types.

The results indicate that F₁ *bm* hybrids can be developed whose silage has an NDF digestibility of 47% or greater. The results also show that *bm* hybrids can be developed and adapted that have an IVTD of 75% or greater and a forage yield that is only 3-15% less than the yield of the isogenic normal hybrid. For example, hybrid 330662 has a 105 day maturity rating and an average NDF dig. of 51.78%, yet has a forage yield of 25.59 tons/acre. Hybrid 330671 has a 115 day maturity rating and an average NDF dig. of 47.88%, yet has a forage yield of 27.00 tons/acre. Moreover, these results indicate that *bm* hybrids derived from different heterotic groups and adapted to different maturities can be developed. Silage from such *bm* hybrids is suitable for feeding to cattle as disclosed herein.

Table 24

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

Pairwise Comparisons of Silage from 13 bm Hybrids and isogenic Normal Hybrids $^{\mathcal{C}}$

rents	YDUG	Male	AR5551bm3	AR5751bm3	AR5551bm3	AR5551bm3	AR5551bm3	AR5655bm3	7675bm3	7675bm3	AR5751bm3	AR5751bm3	AR5655bm3	7677bm3	7677bm3
Inbred Parents	nor our use	Fernate	AR5151bm3	AR5154bm3	AR5252bm3	AR5253bm3	AR5152bm3	AR5154bm3	AR5252bm3	AR5152bm3	AR5252bm3	AR5152bm3	AR5152bm3	AR5252bm3	AR5152bm3
	Yield	Decrease b	72	ო	0	7	12	တ	o	9	16	ស	15	11	4
Forage Yield	B BC (UNA B	Normal	25.45	23.05	26.01	30.35	29.00	25.67	27.49	26.17	29,36	28.45	30.17	30.23	29.62
F.	(Lons/Acre at	₽ 4	20.13	22.35	23.72	23.21*	25.59	24.39	25.00	24.78	24.87*	25.13	25.72	27.00	25.33*
;	<u>.</u>	Normal	43.14	43.52	45.22	44.44	40.08	45.25	45.08	44.28	41.90	42.82	47.89	46.97	47.64
3	A NDF	چ م	45.48	44.81	43.88	44.36	44.07	45.03	43.56	44.41	41.54	43.52	45.27	44.98	47.27
į	(1	Normal	40.88	38.20	42.32	41.37	42.15	41.37	40.64	40.28	38.08	38.87	41.02	39.89	40.54
: !	NDF dig. (%)	ጀ	49.60	50.99	48.35	47.99*	51.78*	50.30	50.99	50.86	48.43	49.79	48.35	47.88	49.85
	(%)	Normal	74.50	73.05	73.90	74.04	76.81	73.47	73.26	73.76	74.33	73.86	71.91	71.79	71.77
į		ያ ያ	77.06	78.03	77.32	76.88	78.71	78.22	78.75	78.33	78.58	78.28	76.86	76.65	76.41
1		Normal	8.23	7.83	7.61	7.26	7.72	8.23	8.29	8.22	8.62	8.74	7.32	8.12	8.28
;	8 CP	Ę 8													
Hybrid	o V		330659	330663	330661	330664	330662	330665	330666	330667	330669	330668	330670	330671	330672

Values with an esterisk are significantly different from isogenic normal silage at p< 0.05 (Studeni's t-test)

Percent decrease in forage yield of bm slage relative to isogenic normal sitage

Summary over two years at 5 or 8 locations

d Data for hybrid nos. 330686 & 330671 are also presented in Tables 16 and 17, respectively

Corresponding isogenic normal inbreds were used to make the normal hybrids

Example 5

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

Formulation of a Dairy Cattle Feed Ration

Control and $bm \, F_1$ hybrid seed of Example 1 was planted and cultivated in the Midwest United States using a standard agronomic practices. The com was harvested approximately 5 months after planting, using standard com silage harvesting methods. The harvested material was ensiled in 150T capacity concrete bunker silos. The harvested material was not treated with inoculant or preservative.

A subsample of each load was ensiled in a 10.16 centimeter (cm) diameter X 40.64 cm length PVC experimental silo fermentation canister made of polyvinyl chloride. Each silo contained a 0.64 cm X 2.54 cm piece of copper tubing inserted into the sidewall. The tubing was covered with a rubber policeman having a slit that allowed fermentation gases to escape while preventing entry of ambient air. Each fresh-cut silage sample was packed tightly into a silo and allowed to ferment for 30 days at ambient temperatures (above freezing) before opening and assaying for nutrient concentrations.

The results of the nutrient concentration assays are shown in Table 25. *In vitro* NDF digestibility was measured as described in Goering, H. and Van Soest, P., *supra*, except that the fermentation period was 30 hours instead of 48 hours. The control corn silage and the BMR corn silage were similar in crude protein and NDF and differed in 30 hour *in vitro NDF* digestibility.

Table 25

Nutrient composition of corn silages ¹						
Nutrient	Control	BMR				
Dry matter, % as fed	33.5	30.2				
Crude protein, %	8.35	8.68				
ADF, %	21.02	21.08				
NDF, %	40.38	42.02				
Hemicellulose, %	19.36	20.94				
NDF dig., %	36.8	45.3				
Ash, %	3.76	4.24				

Nutrients and digestibility are expressed on a dry matter basis.

Experimental diets were developed to be fed as a total mixed ration (TMR), using the following assumptions: the early lactation experimental dairy cow was estimated to weigh 613.6 kg and to produce 45.45 kg of milk per day with 3.5% fat, 3.30% milk protein and a 0.18 kg/day increase in body weight. Dry matter intake (DMI) was predicted to be slightly less than 4.0% of body weight.

The two TMRs were formulated to contain 56% forage on a dry matter basis. The forage consisted of 4 parts corn silage (either normal or BMR) to 1 part alfalfa haylage on a dry matter basis. The TMR also contained soybean meal (SBM, 44% crude protein), high moisture corn, finely ground dry corn, whole linted cottonseeds, mineral and vitamins. The two diets were balanced to be isonitrogenous and varied only in the proportions of SBM and high moisture corn. Rations contained approximately 18.0% crude protein, 44% of which was soluble protein and 31% of which was rumen undegraded protein (rumen bypass protein). Both rations were balanced to meet or exceed mineral and vitamin requirements. The ingredient composition of the two rations is given in Table 26. The nutrient composition of the two rations is given in Table 27.

Table 26

14510 20						
ingredient Composition of Total Mixed Ration ¹						
INGREDIENT	Normal Silage (% by weight)	BMR Silage (% by weight)				
Corn silage	44.6	44.6				
Alfalfa silage	11.2	11.2				
Soybean Meal (44% protein)	19.2	18.8				

Dry matter basis.

50

45

-

Table 26 (continued)

Ingredient Composition of Total Mixed Ration ¹						
INGREDIENT	Normal Silage (% by weight)	BMR Silage (% by weight)				
Corn, high moisture	8.8	9.2				
Corn, dry ground	5.6	5.6				
Cottonseeds, whole linted	5.6	5.6				
Minerals and Vitamins	5.0	5.0				
Total	100.0	100.0				

Dry matter basis.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

5

10

Table 27

Nutrient Composition of To	Nutrient Composition of Total Mixed Ration ¹						
NUTRIENT	Normal Silage	BMR Silage					
Dry Matter	46.8	43.8					
Crude Protein (CP)	18.0	18.0					
Soluble Protein(SP as % of CP)	43.8	44.2					
RUP (RUP as % of CP)	31.0	31.0					
Fat	3.8	3.8					
Net Energy of Lactation (NE _L) ² Mcal/kg	1.72	1.72					
Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)	18.4	18.5					
Neutral Detergent Fiber(NDF)	30.6	31.3					
Non-Fiber Carbohydrate (NFC)	39.6	38.8					
Calcium	1.01	1.01					
Phosphorus	0.45	0.45					
Magnesium	0.29	0.29					
Sulfur	0.22	0.22					
Salt	0.76	0.76					

Percentages for nutrients given as % by weight on a dry matter basis. Dry matter is given as % by weight of ration as fed.

Example 6

Milk Production Using BMR Silage in a Dairy Cattle Ration

32 lactating dairy cows were utilized in a complete block design experiment, consisting of 2 primiparous cows and 14 multiparous cows fed a ration containing control silage and 2 primiparous cows and 14 multiparous cows fed BMR silage. Cows within blocks were randomly assigned to treatments. All cows were disease free and otherwise healthy. Rations were formulated as described in Example 5.

The experiment was a crossover design with 28 day periods. The first 21 days of the period were used for diet adjustment and the last 7 days were used for data collection. Cows ranged from approximately 22 to 141 days in milk, averaging 90 days in milk at the beginning of the experiment.

Cows were milked 3 times daily. Milk production was measured at each milking and reported daily. Nine samples of milk were taken on 3 different days from each cow for composition analysis in each collection period. Composition analysis included fat, protein, lactose, and somatic cell counts. Composition analysis was carried out using Near Infrared Spectroscopy at the Michigan Dairy Herd Improvement Association, East Lansing, Ml. Body weights were recorded on 2 consecutive days before the experiment started and on the last 2 days of each data collection period.

²Book values (1.67 Mcal/kg) used for com silage.

Body condition scores were recorded on the day before the experiment started and on the last day of each data collection period. Scores were recorded according to the definitions indicated in Table 6. Three individuals recorded condition scores at each measurement time.

After 28 days, cows were abruptly switched from one ration to the other ration (from ration containing BMR silage to ration containing control silage and vice versa). Cows were fed and milked in the same manner as prior to the switch in ration. Milk was weighed and analyzed in the same manner as prior to the switch in ration. The experiment was terminated after 56 days. Data were analyzed using the fit model procedure in the JMP computer statistics program (SAS Institute, North Carolina).

The milk production results are shown in Table 28. The results indicate that cows fed BMR silage have a statistically significant increase in milk production when comparing treatment means. The increase was more than 2.7 kg of milk/cow/day on an uncorrected basis and about 2.6 kg milk/cow/day on a solids-corrected basis (Table 28, lines 2 and 5). There was no significant change in the percentage milk fat, protein, lactose, or solids-not-fat (SNF) between milk from cows fed control or BMR silage (Table 28, lines 6-9).

Dry matter intake (DMI) by cows fed BMR silage was significantly greater than that of cows fed control silage (Table 28, line 1). It is noteworthy that there was no significant difference in mean milk production for the two rations when dry matter intake (DMI) was used a covariate (P=0.42). This result suggests that increased milk production is due to an increase in the amount of total ration consumed per day when BMR silage was fed, i.e., that the rate of intake and feed conversion was increased.

Because milk from BMR silage-fed cows has a composition similar to that of milk from control silage-fed cows, milk from BMR-fed cows can be pooled with milk from other sources and processed by standard techniques. Moreover, milk from BMR silage-fed cows typically will not be subject to a price penalty due to non-standard component concentrations.

The effect of a ration comprising BMR silage on body condition and weight is shown in Table 29. Feeding of such a ration resulted in a greater weight gain than did feeding of a ration comprising control silage, although this difference was not statistically significant. Moreover, the body condition score change was significantly better when cows were fed BMR silage than when cows were fed control silage (P=0.049; Table 29). These results indicate that not only does feeding of a ration comprising BMR silage as disclosed herein increase milk production, cows fed such a ration have improved body condition scores. Improved body condition scores are a general measure of a cow's ability to sustain milk production over an extended period of time, e.g., multiple lactations.

Table 28

Production Control Silage	BMR Silage	D.Value
	BMR Silage	D. Value
	,	P Value
23.5	25.5	<0.0001
39.0	41.7	0.002
35.7	38.0	0.0005
38.5	41.0	0.0005
35.2	37.8	0.0001
3.46	3.43	0.71
2.95	2.99	0.35
4.85	4.90	0.22
8.52	8.59	0.13
	35.7 38.5 35.2 3.46 2.95 4.85	39.0 41.7 35.7 38.0 38.5 41.0 35.2 37.8 3.46 3.43 2.95 2.99 4.85 4.90

D = Percentage of Solids-not-fat.

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

^C = Milk production is expressed as kg/cow/day, unless indicated otherwise.

d = Dry matter intake is expressed a kg per cow per day.

e = Milk components are expressed as a percentage (gm/100ml of milk), unless indicated otherwise.

f = Milk yield on a fat-corrected basis to 3.5% milkfat. (Milk x 0.4255)+(16.425 x Fat content/100 x milk).

^{9 =} Tyrrell, H.F. and J.J. Reid, J. Dairy Sci. 48:1215 (1965).

f = Milk yield on a fat-corrected basis to 3.5% milkfat. (Milk x 0.4255)+(16.425 x Fat content/100 x milk).

Table 28 (continued)

Milk Production						
Component	Control Silage	BMR Silage	P Value			
Milk Fat (kg/cow/day)	1.33	1.42	0.01			
Milk Protein (kg/cow/day)	1.14	1.24	0.0001			
Milk Lactose (kg/cow/day)	1.88	2.04	0.0005			

__

Table 29

Effect of BMR Silage on Body Condition and Weight Change					
Component Control Silage BMR Silage P Value					
Body Weight Change (kg increase after 28 days)	2.2	4.76	0.45		
Body Condition Score Change after 28 days	0.019	0.110	0.049		
Ratio: 4% FCM/DMI	1.52	1.48	0.23		

Example 7

Milk Production Using a BMR Sliage Ration and Bovine Somatotropin

An on-farm herd of about 80 lactating dairy cows was utilized to determine the effect of feeding BMR silage in combination with bovine somatotropin (BST) treatment. Cows were fed in a switchback trial, consisting of feeding a control ration for the first phase, feeding a BMR ration for the second phase, followed by a return to a control ration.

BMR corn hybrid 330666 (Table 24), produced from a cross of inbreds AR5252bm3 X 7675bm3, was grown and harvested for silage at the half milk line stage, using typical commercial farm practices. The material was ensiled for about 75 days in an outdoor environment before feeding. The nutrient composition of the silage was similar to that shown in Table 24. Control silage from a locally adapted normal hybrid was grown and harvested in the same manner.

Experimental diets were developed to be fed as a total mixed ration (TMR), assuming a dairy cow 100 days in milk, weighing 613.6 kg and producing 45.45 kg of milk per day with 3.9% fat, 3.30% milk protein. No increase in body weight was predicted. Dry matter intake (DMI) was predicted to be slightly greater than 4.0% of body weight.

The TMRs were formulated to contain about 46-47% forage on a dry matter basis. The forage consisted of approximately equal parts corn silage (normal or BMR) and alfalfa haylage on a dry matter basis. The TMRs also contained heated soybean flakes, high moisture corn, whole linted cottonseeds, and Gro-Mark(tm) Pro-Barley Mix, a proprietary mixture believed to comprise grain, minerals and vitamins. The TMRs were balanced to be isonitrogenous and to meet or exceed mineral and vitamin requirements. Rations contained slightly more than 18% crude protein, about 31-32% of which was soluble protein. The ingredient composition and the nutrient composition of the total mixed rations is given in Tables 30-31.

About 75% of the cows in the herd were treated with BST during the trial. BST was not administered to cows less than 30 days in milk nor to cows not confirmed to be pregnant; such cows constituted about 25% of the herd. BST (Posilac(tm), Monsanto, St. Louis, MO) was administered according to the manufacturer's instructions, using dosages recommended by the manufacturer.

Table 30

Ingredient Composition of Total Mixed Ration ¹						
INGREDIENT TMR ²						
	Days 1-10 (Control Silage)	Days 11-21 (BMR Silage)	Days 22-31 (Control Silage)			
Corn silage	22.57	21.52	22.16			
Alfalfa silage	24.90	25.79	23.76			

Dry matter basis.

²% by weight.

39

5

10

15

20

25

35

40

45

50

Table 30 (continued)

Ingredient Composition of Total Mixed Ration ¹						
INGREDIENT	TMR ²					
	Days 1-10 (Control Silage)	Days 11-21 (BMR Silage)	Days 22-31 (Control Silage)			
Soybean Flakes, heated	2.60	3.00	3.94			
Com, high moisture	25.05	24.92	25.36			
Cottonseeds, whole linted	4.60	4.59	4.66			
Gro-Mark(tm) ProBarley Mix	20.28	20.18	20.13			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0			

Dry matter basis.

20

25

30

35

40

45

55

5

10

15

Table 31

Nutrient Composition of Total Mixed Ration ¹					
NUTRIENT	TMR				
	Days 1-10	Days 11-21	Days 22-31		
Dry Matter	51.62	48.58	55.41		
Crude Protein (CP)	18.58	18.53	18.16		
Soluble Protein(SP as % of CP)	32.42	32.05	30.82		
Crude Fat	5.59	5.56	5.83		
Net Energy of Lactation (NE _L) ² Mcal/kg	1.72	1.72	1.74		
Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)	18.87	18.70	18.50		
Neutral Detergent Fiber(NDF)	29.43	28.92	28.81		
Calcium	1.08	1.10	1.05		
Phosphorus	0.57	0.57	0.56		
Magnesium	0.34	0.35	0.34		
Sulfur	0.26	0.26	0.26		
Salt	0.56	0.55	0.55		

Percentages for nutrients given as % by weight on a dry matter basis. Dry matter is given as % by weight of ration as fed.

Cows ranged from approximately 7 to 489 days in milk, averaging about 211 days in milk at the beginning of the experiment. Cows were milked twice daily. Milk production for the herd was measured at each milking. Cows were fed and milked in the same manner throughout the experiment. On day 1 of the trial, selected cows were treated with BST and the herd was placed on control ration (containing control silage). The herd was abruptly switched from control ration to BMR ration (containing BMR silage) on day 11. On the same day, selected cows were injected with BST. At day 22, cows were abruptly returned to the control ration. At day 25, selected cows were injected with BST.

Herd milk production results are shown in Table 32 and presented graphically in Figure 1. When the herd was fed a control feed ration, average daily milk production of the herd began to increase following treatment of selected cows with BST. Daily milk production peaked about 5-6 days at about 39 kg/cow/day and then declined to about 36 kg/cow/day. A peak in milk production between injections is typical of prolonged release BST administration.

The herd was switched to a ration comprising BMR silage at day 11. In the second phase of the trial, average milk production increased to about 39-40 kg/cow/day at 6 days after the BST treatment and ration change. Milk production

^{2%} by weight.

²Book values (1.67 Mcal/kg) used for com silage.

remained at about 39-40 kg/cow/day for the duration of this phase of the trial. These results show that delivery of BST to cows in conjunction with the feeding of a ration comprising BMR silage results in a sustained increase in average daily milk production rather than the decline in milk production typically observed when BST treatment alone is used.

The sustained increase in milk production during the BMR ration phase is also shown by comparing the average daily milk production for the last four days of each phase. Average milk production for the last 4 days of the first phase (control ration/BST) was 37.50 kg of milk/cow/day on an uncorrected basis. Average milk production for the last 4 days of the second phase (BMR ration/BST) was 40.45 kg of milk/cow/day on an uncorrected basis.

Table 32
Milk Production With BMR and BST

	WITH Floadection with bark and bot											
Diet	Trial Day	Cows		TMR Mix (kg)		Milk Production (kg)						
		Tested	Milked	Morn	Eve	Tank Weight	Avg/ Cow					
Control	1.	73	69	1636	1636	2568	37.22					
	2	73	69	1636	1636	2566	37.18					
	3Þ	71	66	1636	1636	2580	39.10					
	4	71	66	1636	1636	2556	38.73					
	5	71	66	1636	1636	2612	39.57					
	6°	70	67	1636	1636	2670	39.86					
	7	70	67	1636	1636	2600	38.80					
	8	70	67	1636	1636	2556	38.15					
	94	69	66	1636	1636	2444	37.02					
	10*	70	67	1636	£	2433	36.31					
BMR	11*	72	67	1818	1818	2357	35. 18					
	124	73	70	1818	1750	2422	34.60					
	13	73	70	1818	1750	2560	36.58					
	14	73	70	1750	1750	2587	36.95					
	15	73	70	1618	1818	2666	38.09					
	16¹	73	71	1818	1886	2682	37.77					
	17	73	71	1841	1832	2796	39.39					
	183	71	69	1836	1818	2774	40.20					
	19	71	69	1818	1827	2836	41.10					
	20ª	70	68	1809	1823	2763	40.64.					
	21	70	68	1827	1850	2710	39.84					
Control	22	70	68	1682	1673	2682	39.44					
	23	70	67	1682	1682	2545	37.99					
	24	70	67	1682	1682	2500	37.31					
	251	70	67	1591	1609	2450	36.56					
	26"	70	67	1600	1591	2381	35.54					

Diet	Trial Day	Cows		TMR Mix (kg)		Milk Production (kg)	
	27	70	67	1591	1609	2442	36.45
	28	70	67	1595	1595	2545	37.99
	29	70	67	1559	1550	2585	38.58
	30ª	69	66	1555	1545	2563	38.84
	31	69	- 66	1568	1550	2642	40.03

To the extent not already indicated, it will be understood by those of ordinary skill in the art that any one of the various specific embodiments herein described and illustrated may be further modified to incorporate features shown in other of the specific embodiments.

The foregoing detailed description has been provided for a better understanding of the invention only and no unnecessary limitation should be understood therefrom as some modifications will be apparent to those skilled in the art without deviating from the spirit and scope of the appended claims.

Claims

5

10

15

25

35

40

55

30 1. A ruminant animal feed comprising a combination of:

a forage component comprising from about 20% to about 60% of said feed on a dry matter basis, said forage component comprising from about 20% to about 100% corn silage on a dry matter basis produced from corn plants exhibiting a brown midrib (*bm*) phenotype, said silage having an *in vitro* neutral detergent fibre digestibility of about 44% to about 70%; and

a feed composition component;

said animal feed having a fibre content of about 20% to about 40%.

- A feed as claimed in claim 1, wherein said neutral detergent fibre digestibility is from about 6 percent to about 20 percent greater than the neutral detergent fibre digestibility of corn silage produced from corresponding isogenic normal corn plants.
- 3. A feed as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein said corn plants exhibiting a (bm) phenotype comprise F₁ hybrid plants.
- 45 4. A feed as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 3, wherein said complants are homozygous for bm3.
 - 5. A feed as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 4, wherein said silage has a whole plant *in vitro* digestibility from about 65% to about 85%.
- 6. A feed as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 5, wherein said animal feed has a crude protein content of from about 17% to about 21% on a dry matter basis and about 35% to about 50% of said crude protein is soluble protein.
 - 7. A method of enhancing milk production in a ruminant animal, comprising the step of feeding said animal an animal feed as defined in any one of claims 1 to 6.
 - 8. A method as claimed in claim 7 wherein said animal is a dairy cow.
 - 9. A method as claimed in claim 7 or 8, further comprising the step of administering a biologically active somatotropin

to said animal under conditions delivering an effective amount of said somatotropin to said animal during a selected period.

- 10. A method as claimed in claim 9, wherein said somatotropin is administered as a prolonged release dose.
- 11. A method as claimed in claim 8 or 9, wherein said conditions comprise delivering said somatotropin to the circulatory system of said animal.
- 12. A method as claimed in any one of claims 9 to 11, wherein said dose is effective for at least 7 days.
- 13. A method of producing a total ruminant animal feed as defined in any one of claims 1 to 6 comprising the steps of:
 - a) obtaining a corn silage produced from corn plants exhibiting a bm phenotype; and
 - b) formulating a total ruminant animal feed comprising a combination of:
 - a forage component and

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

- a feed composition component as defined in any one of claims 1 to 6.
- **14.** A method as claimed in claim 13 wherein said com plants are grown from a substantially homogenous assemblage of com seeds which are homozygous for at least one *bm* allele.
- 15. A pack for a ruminant animal feed comprising a forage component and separately a feed composition component as defined in any one of claims 1 to 6 as a combined preparation for simultaneous, separate or sequential use to enhance milk production in said animal.
- 16. A pack as claimed in claim 15 additionally comprising a biologically active somatotropin.

Figure 1

